



Implications

- Must recognize legal (and illegal) programs
- Must generate correct code
- Must manage storage of all variables (and code)
- Must agree with OS & linker on format for object code





Implications

- Use an intermediate representation (IR)
- Front end maps legal source code into IR
- Back end maps IR into target machine code
- Admits multiple front ends & multiple passes
 (better code)

Typically, front end is O(n) or $O(n \log n)$, while back end is NPC



A Common Fallacy



Can we build *n x m* compilers with *n+m* components?

- Must encode all language specific knowledge in each front end
- Must encode all features in a single IR
- Must encode all target specific knowledge in each back end

Limited success in systems with very low-level IRs





Responsibilities

- Recognize legal (& illegal) programs
- Report errors in a useful way
- Produce IR & preliminary storage map
- Shape the code for the back end
- Much of front end construction can be automated



Scanner

- Maps character stream into words—the basic unit of syntax
- Produces words & their parts of speech
 - x = x + y; becomes <id,x> <op,= > <id,x> <op,+ <id,y>;
 - > word @ lexeme, part of speech @ token
 - > In casual speech, we call the pair a *token*
- Typical tokens include number, identifier, +, -, while, if
- Scanner eliminates white space
- Speed is important \Rightarrow use a specialized recognizer



Parser

- Recognizes context-free syntax & reports errors
- Guides context-sensitive analysis (*type checking*)
- Builds IR for source program

Hand-coded parsers are fairly easy to build Most books advocate using automatic parser generators

The Front End



Compilers often use an abstract syntax tree



The AST summarizes grammatical structure, without including detail about the derivation

This is much more concise ASTs are one form of *intermediate representation (IR)*





Responsibilities

- Translate IR into target machine code
- Choose instructions to implement each IR operation
- Decide which value to keep in registers
- Ensure conformance with system interfaces

Automation has been *much less* successful in the back end





Instruction Selection

- Produce fast, compact code
- Take advantage of target features such as addressing modes
- Usually viewed as a pattern matching problem
 - > ad hoc methods, pattern matching, dynamic programming

This was the problem of the future in 1978

- > Spurred by transition from PDP-11 to VAX-11
- > Orthogonality of RISC simplified this problem





Instruction Scheduling

- Avoid hardware stalls and interlocks
- Use all functional units productively
- Can increase lifetime of variables
 (changing the allocation)
- Optimal scheduling is NP-Complete in nearly all cases

Good heuristic techniques are well understood





Register allocation

- Have each value in a register when it is used
- Manage a limited set of resources
- Can change instruction choices & insert LOADs & STORES
- Optimal allocation is NP-Complete (1 or *k* registers)

Compilers approximate solutions to NP-Complete problems





Code Improvement (or **Optimization**)

- Analyzes IR and rewrites (or <u>transforms</u>) IR
- Primary goal is to reduce running time of the compiled code
 - > May also improve space, power consumption, ...
- Must preserve "meaning" of the code
 - > Measured by values of named variables





Modern optimizers are structured as a series of passes

Typical Transformations

- Discover & propagate some constant value
- Move a computation to a less frequently executed place
- Discover a redundant computation & remove it
- Remove useless or unreachable code