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**Motivation**

- **Linguistic vagueness**
  - “if the ball is far from the hole and the green is sloping gently downward from the left to the right, then hit the ball firmly and at an angle slightly to the left of the flag”

- **Numerical discretization**
  - Dumb: IQ < 90
  - Average: 90 ≤ IQ ≥ 110
  - Clever: 110 < IQ

  *Should you call a person with IQ 89 dumb, but with 90 average?!*

---

**Motivation**

- **Examples in Raven weapon selection**
  - if the target is far and you have lots of ammo, then the rocket launcher is a desirable choice
  - if target is at medium range and you have lots of ammo, then the rocket launcher is a very desirable choice
**Classic ("Crisp") Sets**

- **Universe (of discourse)**
- **Characteristic (membership) function (predicate)**
  - even: $U \rightarrow \text{boolean}$
  - odd: $U \rightarrow \text{boolean}$
    - even(2) = T, even(3) = F, etc.
- **Operators**: union, intersection, complement
  - and, or, not for characteristic predicates

**Fuzzy Set Membership**

- **Range of membership function for each set**
  generalized from **boolean** to **real interval** (0,1)
  - dumb: IQ $\rightarrow$ (0, 1)
  - average: IQ $\rightarrow$ (0, 1)
  - clever: IQ $\rightarrow$ (0, 1)
- A given value can be a member of **more** than one set with different **degrees**, e.g.,
  - dumb(20) = 1.0, dumb(89) = 0.5, dumb(90) = 0.5
  - average(20) = 0.0, average(89) = 0.5, average(90) = 0.5
- **degree of membership (DOM)** shifts gradually as value changes
Fuzzy Set Membership

- membership functions can be any shape
- but for given value, degrees of membership in all sets (in “grouping”, tbd) should sum to 1.0

Membership Function Shapes

- Triangular
- Trapezoidal
- S-Curve
- Left Shoulder
- Right Shoulder
- Singleton
Membership versus Probability

- Fuzzy logic closely related to probabilistic logics
  - both use real interval (0, 1)
- Probability reflects *uncertainty of outcome*
  - especially for repeated events (coin toss, etc.)
  - if I reach in a bag with 1 green ball and 3 red balls, there is a 25% chance I will pull out a green ball
- Fuzzy sets reflect *conceptual uncertainty*
  - e.g., is this weird-colored ball green or red?
  - “confidence value”

Fuzzy Set Operators

\[ F_{A \cap B}(x) = \min\{F_A(x), F_B(x)\} \]
Fuzzy Set Operators

\[ F_{A \cup B}(x) = \max\{F_A(x), F_B(x)\} \]

Fuzzy Set Operators

\[ F_A^-(x) = 1 - F_A(x) \]
Hedges

\[ F_{\text{Very}(A)}(x) = [F_A(x)]^2 \]

\[ F_{\text{Fairly}(A)}(x) = \sqrt{F_A(x)} \]

Fuzzy Linguistic Variable

- conceptual grouping of several fuzzy sets (membership functions) with the same domain (universe)
  - IQ = { dumb, average, clever }
**Target Heading Variable**

![Graph showing target heading variable with values for Far Left, Left, Center, Right, and Far Right.

**Linguistic Variable Design Guidelines**

*BAD: values don’t add to 1.0*

*BAD: values belong to more than two sets*
Fuzzy Rules

**IF antecedent THEN consequent**

- degree of *membership* of given value in the *antecedent* set determines the degree of *confidence* in the *consequent*

- antecedent and consequent may be primitive fuzzy sets or expressions composed with operators

Fuzzy Rules

IF Target_isFarRight THEN Turn_QuicklyToRight

IF Very(Enemy_BadlyInjured) THEN Behavior_Aggressive

IF Ball_isCloseToHole AND Green_isLevel THEN HitBall_Gently AND HitBall_DirectlyAtHole

IF Target_Medium AND Ammo_Low THEN RocketLauncher_Desirable
Raven Weapon Selection Example

1. Decide on antecedent and consequent linguistic variables
2. Design fuzzy membership functions for each variable
3. Define rules using variables
Raven Weapon Selection Example

- Weapon selection depends on (antecedents):
  - distance to target
  - ammo status

- Conclusion (consequent) is
  - desirability of weapon

- Separate sets of rules for each weapon

Designing Membership Functions

![Membership Functions Diagram](Rocket Launcher)
Designing Membership Functions

Rocket Launcher

Designing Membership Functions
Rocket Launcher Selection Rules

(1) IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Loads THEN Desirable
(2) IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Okay THEN Undesirable
(3) IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Low THEN Undesirable
(4) IF Target_Medium AND Ammo_Loads THEN VeryDesirable
(5) IF Target_Medium AND Ammo_Okay THEN VeryDesirable
(6) IF Target_Medium AND Ammo_Low THEN Desirable
(7) IF Target_Close AND Ammo_Loads THEN Undesirable
(8) IF Target_Close AND Ammo_Okay THEN Undesirable
(9) IF Target_Close AND Ammo_Low THEN Undesirable

* can reduce to 6 rules by Comb’s Method

Fuzzy Inference – Running the Rules

1. Given an input value for each linguistic variable used in the rule antecedents
2. For each rule
   • calculate degree of confidence in conclusion from degree of membership of input value in antecedent
3. Combine all the inferred conclusions into a single fuzzy variable
4. Defuzzify the conclusion to single (crisp) output value
Running the Rules

- **Input values:**
  - Distance to Target = 200 pixels
  - Ammo = 8 rockets

- **Rule (1)**
  IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Loads THEN Desirable
  - Target_Far(200) = 0.33
  - Ammo_Loads(8) = 0.0
  - Desirable = min(0.33, 0.0) = 0.0

### Diagram

- **Rule (1)**
  IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Loads THEN Desirable
  - Target_Far(200) = 0.33
  - Ammo_Loads(8) = 0.0
  - Desirable = min(0.33, 0.0) = 0.0
Running the Rules

- Rule (2)
  IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Okay THEN Undesirable

Running the Rules

- Rule (3)
  IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Low THEN Undesirable
Fuzzy Associative Matrix

- Shaded cells from fired rules
- What should confidence level be for Undesirable?
- How to combine output values?
- Use max (0.33)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Target_Close</th>
<th>Target_Medium</th>
<th>Target_Far</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undesirable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desirable</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VeryDesirable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undesirable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inferred Consequent Sets

- results of each rule OR’ed together with underlying membership function
- “clips” each shape
Combined Output Variable

- output variable could feed into other rules
- or if the end of the line, extract a single value ("defuzz")

Defuzzification – Extracting a single value

- Average of Maxima (MaxAv)
  - good approximation to centroid
  - weighted sum of representative values

\[
\text{Desirability} = \frac{\sum \text{(representativeValue} \times \text{confidence})}{\sum \text{confidence}}
\]

Rocket Launcher
Desirability = 60.625
Algorithm Summary

Distance to target = 200 pixels
Ammo = 8 rockets

Rocket Launcher Desirability = 60.625

Implementation Classes

- FuzzyModule
- FuzzySet
- FuzzyVariable
- FuzzyTerm
- Fuzzy Operator
- Fuzzy Rule

...for weapon selection in Raven
FuzzyModule

- Main members
  - linguistic variables
    - DistToTarget, Desirability, etc.
  - rule base
    - IF Target_Close AND Ammo_Low THEN Undesirable
    - etc.

- Instance for each “client”
  - Raven_Weapon

[see code]
**FuzzySet**

- Base class for different “shapes”
  - Triangle, Shoulders, etc.
  - FzSet proxy (wrapper) class

![Diagram of FuzzySet](image)

[see code]

**FuzzyVariable**

- holds collection of fuzzy sets
  - Close, Medium, Far, etc.
- only supports number (double) universe

![Diagram of FuzzyVariable](image)

[see code]
FuzzyTerm and FuzzyOperator

**Very(A) AND (B OR C)**

- Composite design pattern

```
IF Very(A) AND (B OR C) THEN D

fm.AddRule( FzAND(FzVery(A), FzOR(B,C)), D );
```

[see code]
Raven Weapon Selection

- each weapon instance contains a FuzzyModule instance
  - for tournament play, each bot could keep private rule base for each type of weapon
  - override WeaponSystem::SelectWeapon in bot-specific code

- highest desirability weapon chosen

[see code]

Combs Method

- avoids combinatorial explosion in rules
  - as number of variables increases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Traditional Rules</th>
<th>Combs Rules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3,125</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15,625</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>78,125</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>390,625</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Combs Method

1. IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Loads THEN Desirable
2. IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Okay THEN Undesirable
3. IF Target_Far AND Ammo_Low THEN Undesirable

4. IF Target_Medium AND Ammo_Loads THEN VeryDesirable
5. IF Target_Medium AND Ammo_Okay THEN VeryDesirable
6. IF Target_Medium AND Ammo_Low THEN Desirable

7. IF Target_Close AND Ammo_Loads THEN Undesirable
8. IF Target_Close AND Ammo_Okay THEN Undesirable
9. IF Target_Close AND Ammo_Low THEN Undesirable

(1) IF Target_Close THEN Undesirable
(2) IF Target_Medium THEN VeryDesirable
(3) IF Target_Far THEN Undesirable

(4) IF Ammo_Low THEN Undesirable
(5) IF Ammo_Okay THEN Desirable
(6) IF Ammo_Loads THEN VeryDesirable

Combs Method

- Based on logical equivalence
  IF (A AND B) THEN C = (IF A THEN C) OR (IF B THEN C)

- **Arbitrary** set of traditional rules cannot be written in Combs

- But many fuzzy associative matrixes commonly can

- Easier to start writing in restricted format

- For more details see Millington, Sec. 5.4

- Or original Combs paper