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Outline (2 days)

- State machines
  - motivation
  - West World state examples
  - implementation code
- Messages
  - motivation
  - West World message examples
  - implementation code
- Advanced concepts
  - hierarchical state machines
  - non-deterministic state machines (Markov)
- Homework #2 – Bar Fly (due Sunday midnight)
- Review Chapter 3 (steering)
- Read/prepare Chapter 4 for next week (Simple Soccer)
(Finite) State Machines (FSM’s)

- Familiar, easy to understand
  - standard graphical notation
  - good for communication
  - still most commonly used AI method in games
  - easy to combine with other methods (goals, etc.)
  - fast execution

- Often very badly implemented
  - “spaghetti” code (if/then/else, switch, goto) --- a nightmare to maintain
  - we are going to study a clean, generic object-oriented implementation

West World

- A “laboratory” for studying FSM’s
  - no graphics -- simple plain-text to console
  - allows us to study all the code in detail

- Simulation-type game
  - two characters (agents): miner Bob and wife Elsa
  - next homework: add character Sal the bar fly
  - four locations: gold mine, bank, saloon, home
  - use FSM’s to model their activities

[get to do your own modeling in Homework #3]
**Miner State Machine**

- **EnterMineAndDigForNugget**
  - Pockets fill
  - Not wealthy
- **VisitBankAndDepositGold**
  - Wealthy enough
- **GoHomeAndSleep**
  - Rested
- **QuenchThirst**
  - (Saloon)

**Miner’s Wife State Machine**

- **VisitBathroom**
  - (all at home)
- **DoHousework**
  - 1 in 10 chance
  - Return to previous state
OO State Machine Implementation

- Each state is an **object**
  - encapsulates all information about the state
  - including how it decides which state (if any) to transition to next
  - generic template class, specific classes for game
  - *design issue*: states as singletons?

- Each agent has its own **state machine**
  - generic template class
    - current state
    - previous state (for "blips")
    - global state (factor out shared code)
OO State Machine Implementation

- **Calling sequence**
  - game \(\rightarrow\) agent: “update yourself”
  - agent \(\rightarrow\) state machine: “update yourself”
  - state machine \(\rightarrow\) current state:
    - “you are being entered for first time”
    - “execute yourself”
    - “you are being exited”
States as Singletons

- Each state class, e.g., QuenchThirst, has only a single instance
  - **Benefit**: don't need to manage allocation and destruction of state objects
  - **Drawback**: since all agents share same state objects, agent-specific information must be stored in agent (even if logically associated with state, e.g., thirst)
    - not a problem in West World, since only one miner, wife with distinct states
    - adding a new state with agent-specific information requires editing both state and agent files

Singleton Design Pattern

```cpp
// ------------ MyClass.h -------------------
class MyClass
{
private:
    MyClass();
    ~MyClass();
    MyClass(const MyClass&);
    MyClass& operator= (const MyClass&);
    int m_iNum; // member data

public:
    static MyClass* Instance();
    int GetVal() const { return m_iNum; } // access data
}

// ------------ MyClass.cpp -------------------
MyClass* MyClass::Instance()
{
    static MyClass instance;
    return &instance;
}

MyClass::Instance() -> GetVal();
```
Code Walk

Messaging – Why?

- Miner and wife in WWwW don’t really interact
  - separate state machines running independently
  - states could “communicate” by shared variables
    - poor modularity
    - hard to add new agents which interact with existing

- A solution to the “perception” problem
  - avoids expensive polling algorithms (busy-wait)
    - e.g., if guard does nothing until player enters room, it should not be constantly be checking “did player enter” on every update cycle
    - instead, have player send a message to every entity in the room when she enters the room

- Modern games use messaging extensively
Messaging - Implementation Issues

- Requires unique id registry for every participating entity
  - see BaseGameEntity and EntityRegistry

- Different delivery variations
  - **point-to-point** (messages addressed to specific recipients) -- as in Buckland code
  - **broadcast** (all messages broadcast to all entities --- expensive)
  - **subscription based on**
    - location (e.g., room)
    - message type
  - **delayed delivery** -- Buckland

Miner’s Wife State Machine (extended)

[Diagram of the Miner’s Wife State Machine (extended)]
West World Message Types

- **HiHoneyImHome**
  - sent by Bob to Elsa when entering GoHomeAndSleepTilRest state
  - Elsa responds in WifesGlobalState by changing state to CookStew

- **StewReady**
  - sent by Elsa to self (with delay) when entering CookStew state
  - Elsa responds in CookStew state by sending StewReady message (note reuse) to Bob
  - Bob responds in GoHomeAndSleepTilRest state by changing state to EatStew (blip)

WestWorldWithMessaging Demo

- Various text strings printed to console by Elsa and Bob at various points, e.g.
  - “putting the stew in the oven”
  - “smells Reaaal goood Elsa!”
  - don’t confuse these “messages” with MessageType’s

- This is *programming*!
  - with all the bugs and debugging
  - if a message not handled properly or ignored, whole simulation can stall
Code Walk
Hierarchical State Machines

- Why?

Interruptions (e.g., Alarms)

6 - doubled the number of states!
Add Another Interruption Type

Hierarchical State Machines

- leave any state in (composite) ‘clean’ state when ‘low power’
- ‘clean’ remembers internal state and continues when returned to via ‘recharged’
Add Another Interruption Type

7 states (including composite) vs. 12

Cross-Hierarchy Transitions

- Why?
  - suppose we want robot to top-off battery if it doesn’t see any trash
Cross-Hierarchy Transitions

Hierarchical State Machines

- 'Blip' states in Buckland implementation are simple case (remembers single previous state)
- General case has full push-down stack
- See Millington Sec. 5.3.9 for more details
Non-deterministic State Machines

- multiple transitions for same event
- label each with probability ($\Sigma=1$)
- state machine randomly chooses at run time, based on probabilities
- adds variety to actions

Non-deterministic State Machines

- Also known as "Markov Models"
- Similar effect achieved in miner's wife states using ad hoc code rather than general machine
- See Millington, Sec. 5.5.2 for more details
- Similar variety effect can also be obtained with fuzzy logic (Chapter 10)
Coming up...

- Homework #2 – Bar Fly (due Sunday midnight)
  - adding another character/agent to West World
  - new states and messages
- Review Chapter 3 (steering)
- Start reading Chapter 4 to prepare for next week (Simple Soccer)