Massively multi-player games and Project Darkstar
Who am I?

• Jeff Kesselman, Chief Instigator of Project Darkstar, Sun Microsystems Laboratories
  > 15 years in games and multi-media before coming to Sun:
    > American Interactive Media (Phillips)
    > Crystal Dynamics
    > Total Entertainment Network (TEN)
  > 9 years at Sun
    > Win32 Java 1.3 Performance Tuning
    > Initial leader of the JInput project
    > 2 yrs in Sun “Game Technologies Group”
    > 2.5 years at Sun Labs (Project Darkstar)
Goals For The Week

This week we will cover:

- The History and Structure of Multiplayer games
- The technical game-play challenges going online brings
- How the Project Darkstar server is designed to ease the impact of some of those challenges
What is Project Darkstar?

- Project Darkstar is a network application container designed specifically for mainstream online games.
  > Project Darkstar customers are game developers.
  > Project Darkstar applications are games or game-like applications

- More details to follow...
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Topics Not Covered

- These lectures are intended to familiarize you with the theory behind writing massively multiplayer games and the theory and design behind the Project Darkstar server. They do not cover:
  - Installation and operations of a Project Darkstar (PD) back-end.
  - Language syntax and APIs
  - For these and other specifics of coding PD based games, see the PD tutorials included in the downloads.
Unit One:

History of Multi-player
What this lecture is about

The Evolutionary History of the Architecture of Online Massively Multi-player games
Lecture Overview, Day One

- Day One, Lecture
  - Evolution of Games
  - Review: Single-player game structure
  - Multi-player game structure
  - MUDs and MMOs
Where game architecture comes from

- Game software has DNA
  - It carries the history of the industry within it
  - In order to understand current games, you need to understand the history
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Where game architecture comes from

- Game software has DNA
  - It carries the history of the industry within it
  - In order to understand current games, you need to understand the history
- Game software usually evolves incrementally
  - Game development is generally risk adverse
  - Game development is on tight schedules
  - Games generally vary only in minor way from what came before
- Leaps happen rarely but occasionally
  - Usually by 'cross-breeding' unrelated software
Single Player Game Architecture

The Game Loop, A review
Start at the beginning

• The primordial ooze of games
  > BASIC “guess the number”

10 N = INT(RND(1)*100 + 1)
20 PRINT “Guess a number between 1 and 100”
30 INPUT G
40 IF G = N GOTO 100
50 IF G < N GOTO 80
60 PRINT “Too high”
70 GOTO 20
80 PRINT “Too low”
90 GOTO 20
100 PRINT “You got it!”
110 END
Contains all the “organs” of a modern game

• “The Game Loop”
  > Initialization
    10 N = INT(RND(1)*100 + 1)
  > Update/Render loop
    20 PRINT “Guess a number between 1 and 100”
    30 INPUT G
    40 IF G = N GOTO 100
    50 IF G < N GOTO 80
    60 PRINT “Too high”
    70 GOTO 20
    80 PRINT “Too low”
    90 GOTO 20
   100 PRINT “You got it!”

> Intermingled because simple BASIC isn't structured
All games have a game loop

• Turn Based
  > Stop in Update to collect all input

• Example:
  > Chess:
    > Update:
      - input chess move
      - Run Artificial Intelligence (AI) to calculate response
    > Render:
      - Re-draw or animate chess board
All games have a game loop

- **Real Time**
  - Poll inputs in Update and go on

- **Example:**
  - **First Person Shooter (FPS)**
    - **Update:**
      - Every N frames (or time ticks)
        - Read input keys
        - Calculate player fire if any
        - Run AI to calculate response
        - Calculate Mobile Object (MOB) fire if any
        - Move Player
        - Move MOBs
    - **Render:**
      - Animate 1 frame (or N ticks) of gunfire and motion
Differences Btw Turn based and Real time

- **Turn based**
  > Blocking input
  > One trip around the loop == 1 game turn

- **Real Time**
  > Polled input
  > One trip around the loop == fraction of game turn

- “Game Turn” above is defined as one read of the controllers and the calculation and animation of the response.
Multi-player games

An evolutionary line
Multi-Player, the next evolution

- Multiple Players on one computer
- Turn Based
  - Players each enter their own move sequentially in Update
- Real Time
  - Each player has their own set of keys or input device
  - All players are polled in Update
Multi-Station, the first networked games

- Played on LANs
- Non-local players are on virtual devices
  > Other players input happens on foreign machines
  > Is communicated over network
  > Is processed in Update at every machine as if all input was local
Multi-Station, the first networked games

- The "lock-step" model
  - Every station is running the same game/simulation (sim)
  - Works because on a LAN, latency is infinitesimal
Flight Sims: Open Loop/Asynchronous (Asynch)

- Based on work for SimNet (DIS)
  > Each system has its own variant world state
  > Each vehicle is simulated on one machine
    > Periodic time-stamped state updates sent to others
    > Lower freq then controller input
Flight Sims: Open Loop/Asynch

- **Dead Reckoning**
  - Each sim makes “best guess” at non-local positions
    - Use vehicle model to assist
      - “Tanks don't fly”
  - Corrects as updates are received
    - Note: Updates always in past.
  - Requires conflict resolution mechanism
    - “shooter decides”
Stepping into Cyberspace

- First Internet capable games /techniques
- Kali
  - NB IOS emulator over TCP/IP
  - Lock step games tended to play badly
    - Reducing packets per second helped
    - Latency buffering helped
  - Open loop/asynch tended to play well
    - Already designed for limited bandwidth and real net latencies
- TCP/IP support added to games
  - Pluggable 'net drivers'
  - More attention paid to latency and bandwidth issues
Internet Play: Lock Step Pros and Cons
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Internet Play:
Lock Step Pros and Cons

- **Pros**
  - Cheat proof
  - Exact synchronization assured

- **Cons**
  - Every player’s experience limited by worst case
  - Handles latency spikes poorly
  - Handles dropped players poorly
    - Needs to wait for timeout to determine drop v. spike
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• Pros
  > Good at hiding latency
    > Smooth predict/correct over many frames
  > Better bandwidth control
    > Can communicate less often
      - 'shape' by distance
      - Out of sight, out of mind

• Cons
  > Prone to cheating
    > Need to trust sender as to position
    > Need to trust shooter as to hit/miss
  > Occasional 'warping' or other artifacts
• In general, technique used by all vehicle sims
Quake: The first client/server game

- Server runs authoritative simulation
- Clients run open loop/asynch views
  > Really rich “controllers” for server.
Quake: The first client/server game
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Quake: The first client/server game

- **Pros**
  - Cheating is much more difficult
  - Still not totally impossible
    - Aimbot

- **Cons**
  - What looks like hit to shooter can miss
  - “Low Ping Bastard” (LPB) effect
First Person Shooters Today

- Still fundamentally Quake model
- Player interactivity limited to control LPB effect
- Packet encryption to defeat aimbot
  > Not perfect security, but generally good enough
Game Discovery: LANs

- On LAN, players communicated with broadcast
  - First, broadcast play
    - Only one game session per LAN
  - Later, broadcast discovery, unicast play
    - Multiple sessions per LAN
Game Discover: WANs

- In Cyberspace, no one can hear you broadcast
  - On Internet, players need each others IPs
  - Initially, player entered manually
    - Found each other through IRC
  - GameSpy offers discovery service
    - Programmatically, but still over IRC
    - Simple directory server plus chat
    - Funded by advertising on client
  - TEN and MPath offer complete services
    - Net APIs and star architecture comm servers
Game Discovery Today

- TEN and MPath are gone
- Gamespy
  - Industry standard
  - has expanded data services
  - Now has comm API
    - Thin wrapper over peer to peer TCP/IP and UDP
    - Does UDP socket introduction through IRC
  - Licensed per game, advertising in Gamespy client
    - Most games don't use the Gamespy client
- Xbox Live/PC Live
  - Microsoft's attempt to get into the TEN/MPath space
  - Yearly fee, electronic retailing
Tomorrow... MUDs and MMOs or..

“The British are Coming!”
End of Unit One
Unit Two: MMO Architecture in Depth
What this lecture is about

The Evolution of MUDs and MMOs
Lecture Overview, Day Two

- The evolution of the MMO
  - From MUD to WOW in 30 minutes
- The Difficulties facing today's MMO developers
  - The motivations for Project Darkstar
MUD's and MMOs

Foreign DNA
Meanwhile, in merrie olde England

- The Birth of the MUD
  - Multi-user text adventures
  - Event driven servers
  - Textual command based world simulation
    - User submits text, eg “take sword”
    - Server updates world state and sends textual reply
      - Others also see text for world state change
Meanwhile, in merrie olde England

- Used concept of “room” to break down n-squared communication problem
  
  > Only those in room 'see' changes to room state
  > Only those in room can act on others in room
  > What if you run out of rooms?
    - Virtual /instance/ed rooms
Ultima Online: The Visual MUD

• 2D game for client
  > Levels or “maps” as in previous 2D games
  > Each player on map has a position

• MUD for server
  > Map becomes feature of room (Zone is born)
  > Position on map becomes feature of player object
Ultima Online: The Visual MUD

- Hybrid of vehicle sim and text mud
  - Motion == Open Loop/Asynch game
    - Higher frequency then vehicle sim
    - Gen. more players at once
    - Loose combat model compensates
  - World interaction == event driven MUD
    - Still text & event driven
Ultima Online: The Visual MUD

- Issues?
Ultima Online: The Visual MUD

- **Issues?**
  - Over-crowding of “popular rooms”
    - “fire marshal limit”
  - Scalability limited by power of server
    - Replicate server
  - Server crash loses state of whole world
    - Static worlds
  - Persistence of users
    - Inventory
    - Experience
    - Quest flags
Everquest (EQ): The birth of the Shard

- **EQ needed more power**
  - More users
  - More work per user (3D world)

- **Solved by clustering**
  - Server per Zone
  - One cluster is called a 'shard'
    - Shard is represented to user as one 'server'
    - Terminology left over from UOL
**Everquest (EQ): Further load reduction**

- **EQ needed more power**
  - More users
  - More work per user (3D world)
- **Solved by clustering**
  - Moved MOB AI to separate server
    - A system “player”
  - Other special servers
    - Commerce
    - Chat
    - Physics (CoX)
Everquest (EQ): Further load reduction

- **Issues?**
  - Many single points of partial failure
  - Zone server failure means loss of zone state
    - Like UO but only partial loss of world
  - Over crowded zones
    - Return of the fire marshall
  - Under utilized zones
    - Wasted CPU resources
Phantasy Star Online: The rebirth of the Virtual Room

• **Question:** Can we do better scaling then shards?

• **PSO Answer:** Mission Instancing
  > One standard zone as a “hub”
    > Chat
    > Create parties
    > Get a 'mission'
  > Mission is a virtual zone
    > Created when party enters
    > Destroyed when party leaves
    > Limits n-squared to max party size
    > Only has state while occupied
      - Can be run on a random machine from a pool
Modern MMOs

- Generally some mix of persistent and instanced Zones
  - Guild Wars
    - Towns persistent, all else instanced
    - Like PSO with multiple hubs
  - CoH/CoV
    - Persistent outdoors divided into Zones
      - Outdoors 'street sweep' missions
    - Instanced 'indoors'
      - Indoor instanced missions
    - Late addition: Instanced outdoors
      - Duplicates for over-flow
      - Breaks immersion some
        - “Are you in Atlas 1 or Atlas 2?”
That's the state of the art today

- Various minor tweaks
  - Incremental improvements
  - Different mixes of techniques

- Things to remember
  - Game development is a me-too business
    - Technical evolution happens slowly due to risk
    - Mostly focused on client experience
  - Architectural innovation happens elsewhere
    - Biggest leaps are usually the adoption of techniques already proven elsewhere
Issues Facing Today's Game Developer

- Single player games expanding user expectations
  > Physics
  > Advanced AI
  > Interactive Environments

- Online user base growing non-linearly
  > Great for business, bad for engineering

- All this == greater hunger for CPU and communication bandwidth
Game development hit the wall

- The game loop is a mono-threaded view of the world
  - “near-realtime” coding is what game developers know how to do
- Past growth was fueled by Moore’s law CPU speed ups
  - CPUs suddenly stopped getting faster
  - Moore’s law is now multiplying cores instead
    - Taking advantage of it is hard
      - Outside game developers’ skill sets
    - Most business oriented solutions too slow and limiting
      - Business app servers optimized for avg throughput
        - Games care more about worst case latency
          - Wrong model-- still need to know about locks and databases
The answer.... Project Darkstar

• Research Question:
  • Observation: Multi-threaded, multi-machine code is vital to enable future online games
  • Observation: Multi-threaded, multi-machine coding is very hard to get right
  • Observation: Game coders know nothing about multi-threaded programming
  • The Question: Can we make multi-threaded, multi-machine game code automatically out of mono-threaded programs in a way that optimizes for worst case latency?
Is this possible?

- Can we make multi-threaded, multi-machine code automatically out of mono-threaded programs?
  - No. Pretty much proved impossible
- Can we make multi-threaded, multi-machine online game code automatically out of mono-threaded programs?
  - A special case
  - With a few constraints we believe this is possible
How?

Tune in Thursday ... same bat time... same bat channel
End of Unit Two
Unit Three: Project Darkstar
What this lecture is about

The motivation and architecture of Project Darkstar
Lecture Overview, Day Three

- **Review: MMOs today**
  - Today's MMO architecture
  - Issues facing today's developers

- **Project Darkstar**
  - The motivations for Project Darkstar
Traditional MMO Architecture

- World broken up geographically into “Zones”
- Each Zone is on a Zone Server
- All state for that Zone in Zone Server's memory
- User state check pointed to Login Server
Typical MMO Scene
Whats going on here?
What's going on here?

- These players are dealing with a merchant

- This player is talking with an NPC
Whats going on here?

- These players are fighting a Dragon
Traditional Architecture: Load

• All this action occurs in Zone A
  > Must be processed by Zone Server A
  > Other Zone Servers can be idle

• Geographic Distribution
  > Industry standard architecture
  > Would be perfect if people were Gaussian
Traditional Architecture: Failure

• If Zone A server fails
  > Zone's game state is lost
  > Players states are lost back to last checkpoint
  > Players cannot get back in until server is restored
    > Just happened to me on CoH
    > Required CSR action
MMOs are inherently parallel

- Wouldn't it be great if the action could be split up?
  - Merchant being processed by one server
  - NPC chat by another
  - Fight by another

- Problems:
  - Interactions are many, varied and dynamic
  - Parallel programming is hard
What we really want is...

- A way to dynamically allocate interactions to a pool of servers
- A way to get whatever data is needed to that server
- A way to recover state in the case of failure
- A coding model that is comfortable and intuitive for people who think mono-threaded
  - **ENTER PROJECT DARKSTAR**
Recall...

- Scales badly
- Wastes resources
- Limits persistence
- Has problematic failure modes
Project Darkstar Architecture

- Stateless processing nodes
- Identical code on each processing node
- Data is stored in a meta service (DataManager)
- Data flow to processing nodes as needed
Darkstar application model

- Event-driven Programs
  - Event generates a task
  - Task code is *apparently* mono-threaded
  - Tasks are independent
  - Code that does not meet this model must be deployed in a Darkstar “service”

- Tasks **must**
  - Be short-lived
  - Access data through Darkstar services
  - Communicate through Darkstar services
Making it multi-threaded

• All tasks are transactional
  > Either everything is done, or nothing is
  > Commit or abort determined by data access
    and contention

• Data access
  > Data store detects conflicts, changes
  > If two tasks conflict
    > One will abort and be re-scheduled
    > One will complete

• Transactional communication
  > Actual communication only happens on
    commit
Project Darkstar Data Store

- Not a relational database
  > Is an enterprise class database
    > Reliable, Scalable, Fault Tolerant
  > No SQL
  > Optimized for 50% read/50% write

- Keeps all game state
  > Stores everything persisting longer than a single task
  > Shared by all copies of the stack

- No explicit locking protocols
  > Detects changes automatically
  > Programmer can provide hints for optimization
Project Darkstar Communication

- Listeners hear client communication
  > Simple client protocol
  > Listeners established on connection
- Client-to-client through the server
  > Very fast data path
  > Allows server to listen if needed
    > Can slow down communication
- Mediation virtualizes end points
  > Indirection abstracts actual channels
  > Any processing node can talk to any user
Distributing the load

- Darkstar tasks can run anywhere
  - Data comes from the data store
  - Communications is mediated
  - Where a task runs doesn't matter
- Tasks can be allocated on different machines
  - Players on different machines can interact
  - The programmer doesn't need to choose
- Tasks can be moved
  - Meta-services can track loads and move tasks
  - New stacks can be added at runtime
The End Result

- **Game programmer friendly programming model**
  - A single thread
  - A single machine

- **Multiple threads**
  - Task scheduling part of the infrastructure
  - Concurrency control through the data store, transactions

- **Multiple machines**
  - Darkstar manages data and communication references
  - Computation can occur on any machine
  - Machines can be added (or subtracted) at any time
Some additional advantages

- Entire world is persistent
  - Not just user data
  - World can evolve
  - Durability guaranteed within a few seconds

- Major sources of error eliminated
  - Race conditions
  - Breaks in referential integrity
    - “dupe” bug

- Fails over and tolerates failure
  - Loss of individual node just increases load on others
  - Enterprise class Data Store recovers from complete failure
Does not apply to many problems

• NOT A GENERAL SOLUTION TO MULTI-THREADED PROGRAMMING
  > Impossible, remember?
  > The system works because of the assumptions we make that happen to match how games work
    > System tuned for worst-case latency
      – J2EE tuned for transactional throughput
    > System tuned for lots of little packets
      – Not a distribution server
      – For distribution of large static data blocks there are existent solutions
        • Web servers
        • Streaming servers
However...

- Can apply to other kinds of games
  > Great platform for MMO casual games
  > Good platform for Matchmaking and social services

- Can apply to “game-like” applications
  > Car Auctions
  > Military simulation
  > Who knows??
Tomorrow

Coding for Project Darkstar
Project Darkstar in Action

Jeff Kesselman
CTO Rebel Monkey Inc
Originator: Project Darkstar
Part 1: Intro to Coding

The Project Darkstar coding model
Tasks

- Darkstar application code is executed in Tasks
  - Thread of control + a Transactional context
  - Event driven
  - Time limited (default is 100ms)
  - Can be one-shot or repeating
  - Can be delayed or ASAP
Kinds of Events

- User events
  - Result of client action (login, send data, logoff, etc)
  - Are ordered in relation to user
  - Are unordered in relation to other users or system events

- System events
  - Generated by services or queued by tasks
Standard Event Listeners

- **AppListener**
  - initialize()
  - loggedIn()
- **ClientSessionListener**
  - disconnected()
  - received()
- **ChannelListener**
  - receivedMessage()
Managed Objects

- Tasks execute methods on Managed Objects
  - ManagedObjects call Managers or other ManagedObjects

- Managed Objects are...
  - Stored in DataStore automatically
  - Can be bound to a name
  - Referenced through a ManagedReference
  - *Almost* POJO
Life cycle of Managed Objects

- MO is implicitly created in Data Store first time it is “seen” by the Data Manager
  - DataManager.createReference(…)
  - DataManager.createBinding(…)
  - Multiple calls still result in a single Managed Object in Data Store
- MO is accessed through binding or ManagedReference
- MO is saved at the end of the Task
- MO must be explicitly destroyed
Managing Managed Objects

- Managed Object is a POJO that implements Serializable and ManagedObject

```java
public class Counter implements Serializable, ManagedObject {
    int count = 0;

    public int incrCount() {
        return count;
    }
}
```
Managed Reference
The What

• ManagedReference is a Java reference class
  - Like SoftReference, WeakReference
• Managed Objects must reference other
  ManagedObjects through ManagedReference
    fields
  - Objects referenced through normal Java
    References are part of the private state of the
    containing Managed Object
  • Eg the int in Counter is part of the Counter
    instance's state
Managed Reference
The Why

• Managed References break the serialization graph and allow reference between Managed Objects
  - The reference is part of the state MO that contains it, but the MO it references has its own state.
public class MyObj implements Serializable, ManagedObject {
    Counter myCounter = new Counter();

    public class incr() {
        return myCounter.incr();
    }
}

- Stores Counter instance in Java reference field
- Will exception at run-time
public class MyObj implements Serializable, ManagedObject {
    ManagedReference<Counter> myCounterRef =
        AppContext.getDataManager.createReference(new Counter());

    public class incr() {
        return myCounterRef.get().incr();
    }

    • Reference counter through ManagedReference
    • Can pass counter to other ManagedObjects who can create their own references to same Counter instance.
Standard Managers

- **Channel Manager**
  - Provides efficient data transfer to a group of users spread out across many nodes

- **Data Manager**
  - Provides access to managed objects

- **Task Manager**
  - Provides ability to queue new tasks
Pluggable Managers

- Can add your own managers to the system
- Good for doing things Application tasks cannot
  - Eg blocking IO, long running calculations, etc
- Not for the feint of heart
  - Like driver coding for Project Darkstar
  - Lose all the execution support of the Application layer
    - Have to explicitly manage Transactions
    - Have to explicitly manage distributed execution
Services

• Managers are really just facades to Services
  - Every manager has a backing Service
• Not every Service has a Manager
  - Services without managers are intended for use solely by other services
Standard Manager-less Services

- **Watchdog Service**
  - Watches the health of nodes

- **Node Mapping Service**
  - Maintains a knowledge of each node's workload
  - Redistributes load when nodes fail or are added

- **Client Session Service**
  - Handles logon and logoff
  - Maintains knowledge of the client connection point
System Bootstrap

• How do initial listeners get registered?
• `AppListener.initialize()` is bootstrap
  - Sub-class pecified in app properties
  - One intance gets created by system when DataStore is empty
  - System calls `initialize()` when first created
User login

- On login, AppListener.loggedln(...) called
  - App code returns instance of ClientListener subclass
  - Returning null immediately rejects the user
Standard Managers and Events

- **Data Manager**
  - Generates no events
- **Task manager**
  - Repeating tasks (sort of like a heartbeat)
- **Channel Manager**
  - Interface to channel system
  - `ChannelListener.receivedMessage()`
Part 2: Coding for Darkstar

Some Best and Worst Practices
Designing Managed Objects

- Avoid Object Contention
  - Code is *apparently* monothreaded
  - The PDS is taking locks under the hood
- Balance contention with overhead
  - Fetching each object has some fixed overhead
  - Larger objects take longer to load
  - Ergo: ManagedObject should encapsulate all data that is used together but as little other data as possible, bounded by a trivial size
Avoid Unscalable Algorithms

- Exponential growth will kill you
  - Object access has a cost
    - Touching n-squared objects is death
    - Ex: polling all objects for distance from user
  - Communication has a cost
    - Sending n-squared packets is death
    - Ex: putting every user in one busy chat channel
Divide and Conquer

- Create awareness groups
  - Ex: MUD rooms
- Proactive objects
  - Put themselves in and out of groups based on events
Constraints on Managed Objects

- *Almost* POJO
  - A few things not allowed
- No inner classes (except static ones)
  - Hold invisible references that mess up serialization
- No static fields (except final ones)
  - Static fields are bound to a VM
  - ManagedObjects float between many VMs
Constraints on Managed Objects

- No references to shared non-managed objects
  - Every primitive and object instance referenced directly by a Managed Object is part of its private state

- No plain Java references to other Managed Objects
  - Use Managed Reference
  - Shows that it has its own state
Locking Behavior

• Nitty Gritty for those who care
  - Working copy is fetched from Managed Reference
    • `get()` is a read lock
    • `getForUpdate()` is a write lock
    • `MarkForUpdate()` is a lock promotion from read lock to write lock
    • Managed Objects that are only read locked but modified anyway will get promoted to write locks at task commit time
Locking Behavior

• Some other locking notes:
  - Multiple locks are harmless
  - Write locks cannot be de-promoted
  - All locks are held until task commit time
  - Task aborts on deadlock, commits on exit
Locking Strategies

• In general....
  – Use get() if you do not know if an object will be updated
  – Use getForUpdate() or markForUpdate() as soon as you do know for sure the object will be updated

• Unless you are a multi-processing expert, this will produce the best over-all results
Part 3: The Monkey Wrench
The Goals

- Combined real-time collaborative casual game site and social network
  - Identity spans all elements
    - Avatar
    - Inventory
  - Support finding and playing with others
    - Auto matching
    - Friends lists
  - Support web 2.0 social network functions
Observations

• Good Web technologies exist
  - Glassfish, MySql, Spring, AJAX

• Multi-player game requires server
  - Coordinate players
  - Prevent cheating

• Web technologies not good for real time games
  - What Project Darkstar was built for
The Monkey Wrench: Flow of Control
The Monkey Wrench: Flow of Data
Darkstar Integration: Custom Managers

- Game Session Manager
  - Fetches settings of game session from SOA
- User Data Manager
  - Fetches user avatar and inventory info from SOA
- Game Data Manager
  - Pushes game results back through SOA
Darkstar Integration: Game Session Launcher

- Allows one Darkstar server to host many sessions of many different games
  - Gets session ID and classname for a game session class with Game Session Manager
  - Instances game session class and rendezvous with players
  - Gets user data from User Data Manager for each user and feeds to game session
  - Handles cleanup of game session at game's end
www.campfu.com
Questions?