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Abstract—Link prediction has become an important and active research topic in recent years, which is prevalent in many real-world applications. Current research on link prediction focuses on predicting one single type of links, such as friendship links in social networks, or predicting multiple types of links independently. However, many real-world networks involve more than one type of links, and different types of links are not independent, but related with complex dependencies among them. In such networks, the prediction tasks for different types of links are also correlated and the links of different types should be predicted collectively. In this paper, we study the problem of collective prediction of multiple types of links in heterogeneous information networks. To address this problem, we introduce the linkage homophily principle and design a relatedness measure, called RM, between different types of objects to compute the existence probability of a link. We also extend conventional proximity measures to heterogeneous links. Furthermore, we propose an iterative framework for heterogeneous collective link prediction, called HCLP, to predict multiple types of links collectively by exploiting diverse and complex linkage information in heterogeneous information networks. Empirical studies on real-world tasks demonstrate that the proposed collective link prediction approach can effectively boost link prediction performances in heterogeneous information networks.

Index Terms—collective link prediction; heterogeneous information networks; meta path.

I. INTRODUCTION

Network analysis, especially link prediction, is prevalent in a wide range of application domains. Examples include recommender systems, gene analysis, etc. In these applications, the potential existence of unknown links representing a particular relationship need to be predicted. For example, in gene-disease association prediction, different gene sequences can lead to certain diseases. Researchers would like to predict the association relationships between genes and diseases. In drug-target binding prediction, different chemical compounds can bind with certain gene targets. In order to discover new drugs for diseases, researchers are interested in predicting the binding relationships between genes and chemical compounds.

Link prediction has been extensively studied in the literature [19], [8], [20]. Conventional research on link prediction mainly focuses on homogeneous information networks which are composed of one single type of objects and links. Recent studies extend to study the link prediction problem in heterogeneous information networks [25], [6], [16], [7], where multiple types of objects are interconnected through multiple types of links. Most of existing studies either predict one single type of links or independently predict multiple types of links. However, in many real-world applications, we need to predict multiple types of links within a heterogeneous information network, and the prediction tasks for multiple types of links are correlated.

For example, in drug discovery networks, we usually need to predict multiple types of links within a heterogeneous information network, such as gene-disease association links and drug-target binding links. Moreover, different types of links are correlated, and should be predicted collectively instead of independently. Gene-disease association relationship is correlated with drug-target binding relationship, since there are diverse and complex relationships connecting gene, disease, chemical compounds, and related objects. The tasks of predicting drug-target binding links and gene-disease association links are closely related, because any discovery of a certain association between gene sequences and a particular disease can provide important clues about developing the corresponding drugs for such disease, vice versa. Therefore, in order to capture such inter-link dependencies, multiple types of links need to be predicted collectively.

In this paper, we study the problem of heterogeneous collective link prediction, which corresponds to collectively predicting the potential existence of multiple types of links in a heterogeneous information network. If we consider collective link prediction and heterogeneous information networks as a whole, the major research challenges of this paper can be summarized as the following aspects.

First, how can we compute the existence possibility of a link between different types of objects? Conventional approaches for link prediction mainly consider one single type of relationships in the data. However, heterogeneous information networks can encode diverse and complex relationships among objects, involving multiple types of source node correlations and target node correlations. For example, two chemical compounds can be considered similar due to (1) sharing of common substructure, (2) treating the same disease, or (3) binding to the same gene, etc. Each of these types of similarities or correlations corresponds to a different linkage or path relationship in Figure 2. That is to say, similar
node sets representing such correlations can be discovered through meta-path based connections [25]. In order to investigate the potential existence of a link, we introduce the linkage homophily principle by referring to existing linkage information between the similar nodes of the source node and those of the target node. Different from previous work where proximity measures for link prediction are defined on one single type of nodes (e.g., common neighbors, Jaccard’s coefficient, preferential attachment) [19], [25], we design a relatedness measure between a pair of nodes which can be of different types. We also extend some representative proximity measures to heterogeneous links [26].

Second, how can we simultaneously predict multiple types of links in heterogeneous information networks to capture the inter-link dependencies? It is usually assumed that the prediction tasks for multiple types of links are independent which may not hold in some real-world applications. To tackle this problem, inspired by co-training [2], we try to combine labeled and unlabeled data in heterogeneous information networks, where the label concepts are different types of links. Instead of directly exchanging their most confident predictions on unlabeled data, we consider adding the most confidently predicted links of each type into the network schema. Therefore, an iterative framework is proposed to effectively address the problem of collective prediction of multiple types of links by leveraging the complementary prediction information from different types of links.

In this paper, we define the problem of collective link prediction in heterogeneous information networks. We observe that meta-path is defined as a type of path containing a certain sequence of link types [27], which can be a good tool to extract diverse and complex relationships among objects in heterogeneous information networks, since different meta-paths usually represent different correlations among connected objects with different semantic meanings, as shown in Figure 1. Moreover, we introduce the linkage homophily principle, based on which we design a relatedness measure, called RM, that can characterize the existence probability of a link between different types of nodes. We also extend conventional proximity measures to heterogeneous links. Furthermore, we propose a general iterative framework for heterogeneous collective link prediction, called HCLP, to predict multiple types of links collectively by capturing the diverse and complex relationships among different types of links and leveraging the complementary prediction information. It is demonstrated through experiments on real-world tasks that our proposed method can significantly improve the performance of collective link prediction in heterogeneous information networks.

For the rest of the paper, we first state the problem of heterogeneous collective link prediction and introduce data collections and related notations in section II. Then we introduce the relatedness measure in section III-B and an iterative framework in section III-C. Experimental results are discussed in section IV. In section VI, we conclude the paper.

II. PROBLEM DEFINITION

In this section, we first introduce related concepts and notations, then define the problem, and introduce the dataset used in this paper.

A. Heterogeneous Information Network

A heterogeneous information network is a type of information network with multiple types of nodes and multiple types of links [28], [26]. It can be represented as a directed graph \( G = (V, E) \), where \( V \) denotes the set of nodes, which involves \( t \) types of nodes: \( V^1 = \{v^1_1, \ldots, v^1_n\}, \ldots, V^t = \{v^t_1, \ldots, v^t_m\} \), where \( v^i_p \) represents the \( j \)-th node of type \( i \). \( E \subseteq V \times V \) denotes the set of links between the nodes in \( V \), which involves \( m \) types of links.

Each type of links starting from a source node of type \( i \) and ending at a target node of type \( j \) corresponds to a binary relation \( R^{ij} \), where \( R^{ij}_{pq} \) holds if \( v^i_p \) and \( v^j_q \) are linked by
a link of type \( R_i^j \). For example, in Figure 2, the link type “\( \text{hasTissue} \)” is a relation between \( \text{genes} \) and \( \text{tissues} \), where \( R_i^j \) holds if the \( i \)-th gene has a link of type “\( \text{hasTissue} \)” to the \( j \)-th tissue node in the network. We can write this link type as “\( \text{gene} \xrightarrow{ \text{hasTissue} } \text{tissue} \)” or “\( \text{v}_i \xrightarrow{ \text{R}^j } \text{v}_j \)”.

In addition, a relation \( R_i^j \) is mathematically described by a \( |V_i| \times |V_j| \) weighted adjacency matrix \( W_{ij} \), where \( W_{ij} \in [0, 1] \) is the possibility that there exists a link of type \( R_i^j \) between \( \text{v}_i \) and \( \text{v}_j \). Particularly, \( W_{ij} = 1 \), if there is an existing link between \( \text{v}_i \) and \( \text{v}_j \). Otherwise, \( W_{ij} \) is set to 0 in initialization for all the unknown links.

B. Collective Link Prediction over Multiple Link Types

The task of collective link prediction is to predict the potential existence of multiple types of links, which are correlated. In this paper, we propose to utilize heterogeneous information networks to facilitate the process of collective link prediction.

Given a heterogeneous information network \( G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}) \), \( \mathcal{E} \) is the set of existing links involving \( m \) types of links, then all the remaining unknown links are denoted as \( \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{E} \), from which a set of test links are randomly sampled. \( \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{U} \). The task of collective link prediction is to find a predictive function \( f : (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \), where \( \mathcal{Y} = \{ y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_T \} \), \( y_i \in \{0, 1\} \) is a set of inferred results for whether the test links can exist.

To build a classifier, for each link, a feature vector \( x_i \) should be derived from the network schema or linkage structures. Then, our task reduces to build a model to estimate the probability \( P(y_i|x_i) \). \( x_i \) can be normally designed as to reflect the degree of similarity or closeness of two adjacent nodes of the \( i \)-th link. However, computing \( x_i \) is a challenging task in the context of heterogeneous information networks, since conventional proximity measures [19] can not be directly applied here. In section III-B, a novel relatedness measure is proposed to capture diverse and complex relationships between multiple types of nodes, in different semantics.

C. Data Collections

In this paper, we study a heterogeneous information network from bioinformatics. SLAP dataset\(^1\) is a heterogeneous information network composed of over 290K nodes and 720K edges. It integrates data involving 10 types of nodes, such as \( \text{genes} \), \( \text{diseases} \), chemical \( \text{compounds} \), etc., which are connected through 11 types of links, such as “\( \text{gene} \xrightarrow{ \text{PPI} } \text{gene} \)”, “\( \text{gene} \xrightarrow{ \text{hasTissue} } \text{tissue} \)”, etc. Its network schema is shown in Figure 2. There are extensive applications of predicting these types of links in bioinformatics, and several examples are listed in Table 1.

\(^1\)SLAP dataset [4]: this dataset is an information network that integrates many datasets into a single framework using Semantic Web technologies for drug discovery. It includes public datasets related to systems chemical biology: such as PubChem, DrugBank, PPI, SIDER, CTD diseases, KEGG Pathways, etc.

![Fig. 2. An example of heterogeneous information network schema (the data schema of SLAP dataset). Each box represents one type of nodes in the network, and each dashed line represents one type of links. The numbers in the figure represent the numbers of nodes/links of different types. [14]](image)

III. PROPOSED METHOD

To address the first challenge of how to compute the existence possibility of a link between different types of objects, we first introduce the notion of meta-path in section III-A, based on which we design a novel relatedness measure between a pair of nodes in section III-B. The second challenge of how to simultaneously predict multiple types of links is tackled by our proposed iterative framework for heterogeneous collective link prediction in section III-C.

A. Meta-path

Before exploiting the correlations among multiple types of links, we first consider potential relationships among multiple types of nodes derived from a heterogeneous information network. We briefly review the notion of meta-path following the previous work [26], [17], [15], [27].

In general, a meta-path \( \mathcal{P} \) corresponds to a type of path within the network schema, containing a certain sequence of link types. For example, in Figure 2, a meta-path “\( \text{causeDisease} \xrightarrow{\text{PPI}} \text{gene} \xrightarrow{\text{causeDisease}} \text{disease} \)” denotes a composite relationship between \( \text{diseases} \), and the semantic meaning of this meta-path is that two \( \text{diseases} \) are caused by a common \( \text{gene} \). The link type “\( \text{causeDisease} \)” represents the inverted relation of “\( \text{causeDisease} \)”. We consider that \( \text{diseases} \) connected through the meta-path can be regarded as more similar than those without such correlations.

Different meta-paths usually represent different relationships among linked nodes with different semantic meanings. For example, the meta-path “\( \text{gene} \xrightarrow{\text{PPI}} \text{gene} \)” denotes two \( \text{genes} \) are connected through “\( \text{PPI} \)”, while the meta-path “\( \text{gene} \xrightarrow{\text{hasTissue}} \text{tissue} \xrightarrow{\text{hasSubstructure}} \text{gene} \)” corresponds to the semantic meaning that two \( \text{genes} \) share common \( \text{tissues} \) [14]. In this way, similarity between a type of nodes can be described by different meta-paths with different semantics. \( \mathcal{P}_{i,j} \) is defined as a type of meta-paths starting from source nodes of type \( i \) and ending at target nodes of type \( j \). Particularly, \( \mathcal{P}_{i,i} \) (or \( \mathcal{P}_{j,j} \)) is a type of meta-paths starting from and...
ending at nodes of the same type \(i\) (or \(j\)). The \(s\)-th (or the \(t\)-th) meta-path of \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) (or \(P^{j\rightarrow i}\)) is denoted as \(P^{i\rightarrow j} P^{i\rightarrow j}\) (or \(P^{j\rightarrow i} P^{j\rightarrow i}\)). For example, \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) can be the yellow line representing a meta-path connecting the source node. Similarly, \(P^{j\rightarrow i}\) can be the yellow line representing a meta-path connecting the target nodes. In Figure 1, \(R^{i\rightarrow j}\) is indicated as the arrows linking from the source nodes to the target nodes. Concatenating \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\), \(P^{j\rightarrow i}\) and \(P^{j\rightarrow i}\) in sequence can compose a meta-path of \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) going from the source nodes to the target nodes, denoted as \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\). It can be written as a certain sequence of relations: \(P^{i\rightarrow j}=P^{i\rightarrow j} P^{j\rightarrow i} P^{j\rightarrow i}\), where \(k_0 = i, k_n = j\) and \(n\) is the length of \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\).

B. Relatedness Measure

Similarity measures for analyzing the proximity of nodes in a network are introduced in previous work [19], [25], which however are defined on one single type of nodes. In real-world applications of heterogeneous information networks, there are multiple types of nodes. Thus, we design a novel relatedness measure between a pair of nodes, which can be of different types.

Let’s consider a link type \(\forall P^{i\rightarrow j} \rightarrow \forall P^{j\rightarrow i}\). An effective measure function should be designed to reflect the possibility that a link can exist between the source node \(v^i\) and the target node \(v^j\). An intuition is to find a set of nodes of type \(i\) similar to \(v^i\) and a set of nodes of type \(j\) similar to \(v^j\), then investigate the existing linkage information across the two sets of nodes. We consider that the more existing links across the similar node set of \(v^i\) and the similar node set of \(v^j\), the more likely that there exists a link between \(v^i\) and \(v^j\), which can be referred to as the following principle.

**Principle 1. (Linkage Homophily Principle)** Two nodes are more likely to be directly linked if most of their respective similar nodes are linked.

Normally, similar nodes are defined within neighbors. In heterogeneous information networks, however, similar nodes of the same type may be connected through composite paths instead of direct links. Thus, meta-path based connections can be used to capture such generalized neighbor dependencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted Link Type</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene (P^{ij})Gene</td>
<td>Protein-protein Interaction Prediction</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (\text{causeDisease})Gene</td>
<td>Prioritization of Candidate Disease Genes</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene (\text{hasGeneOntology})Gene</td>
<td>Automated Gene Ontology Annotation</td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Compound (\text{bind})Chemical Compound</td>
<td>Drug-Target Interaction Prediction or Drug Repositioning</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Compound (\text{causeDisease})(\text{sideEffect})</td>
<td>Drug Discovery</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Compound (\text{causeSideEffect})(\text{sideEffect})</td>
<td>Drug Side Effect Profiling</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE I**

**VARIOUS APPLICATIONS OF LINK PREDICTION IN BIOINFORMATICS.**

 normally, similar nodes are defined within neighbors. In heterogeneous information networks, however, similar nodes of the same type may be connected through composite paths instead of direct links. Thus, meta-path based connections can be used to capture such generalized neighbor dependencies, upon which similarity can be defined. Following this idea, we need to select the meta-paths that define the similarity of source nodes and that of target nodes. Assume the source node is \(v^i\) (i.e., the \(p\)-th node of type \(i\)) and the selected meta-path is \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) (i.e., the \(s\)-th meta-path of \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\)). The set of nodes of type \(i\) that are connected to \(v^i\) via the meta-path \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) are regarded as its generalized neighbors and denoted as \(N^i_{p}\). Similarly, assume the target node is \(v^j\) (i.e., the \(q\)-th node of type \(j\)) and the selected meta-path is \(P^{j\rightarrow i}\) (i.e., the \(t\)-th meta-path of \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\)). The set of nodes of type \(j\) that are connected to \(v^j\) via the meta-path \(P^{j\rightarrow p}\) are regarded as its generalized neighbors and denoted as \(N^j_{q}\). The idea is to use the connectivity between the two generalized neighbor sets, \(N^i_{p}\) and \(N^j_{q}\), to predict the likelihood of linkage between nodes \(v^i\) and \(v^j\).

This concept can be further refined by taking into consideration the similarity of generalized neighbor nodes as a weight. Weighted by the similarity between \(N^i_{p}\) and \(N^j_{q}\) and that between \(N^i_{p}\) and \(N^j_{q}\), the relatedness measure can be defined as the expected number of links between similar source nodes and similar target nodes, divided by the maximum number of potential links between them.

Let \(EPC^{i\rightarrow j}\) denote the similarity matrix of \(i\)-th node along the meta-path \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\), then \(EPC^{i\rightarrow j}\) is the similarity between nodes \(v^i\) and \(v^j\). As in [26], the similarity can be measured based on path counts. In general, expected path count, \(EPC^{i\rightarrow j}\), can be computed by the product of weighted adjacency matrices \(W^{k\rightarrow i\rightarrow k}\) corresponding to each link type \(R^{k\rightarrow i\rightarrow k}\) along the meta-path \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) as follows:

\[
EPC^{i\rightarrow j} = \prod_{p=1}^{n} W^{k_p\rightarrow i\rightarrow k_p} = EPC^{i\rightarrow j} \times W^{i\rightarrow j} \times EPC^{j\rightarrow i}
\]

where \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) is a composition of \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\), \(P^{j\rightarrow i}\) and \(P^{j\rightarrow i}\). Here, \(EPC^{i\rightarrow j}\) is a \(|V_i| \times |V_j|\) matrix and \(EPC^{j\rightarrow i}\) is the expected number of path instances between \(v^i\) and \(v^j\). \(EPC^{i\rightarrow j}\) can be similarly computed and regarded as the similarity measures between nodes of type \(i\) (or \(j\)).

Now we can formulate our relatedness measure between source nodes of type \(i\) and target nodes of type \(j\) upon the meta-path \(P^{i\rightarrow j}\) as follows:

\[
RM^{i\rightarrow j} = \frac{EPC^{i\rightarrow j}}{EPC^{i\rightarrow j} \times W^{i\rightarrow j} \times EPC^{j\rightarrow i}} = \frac{EPC^{i\rightarrow j} \times W^{i\rightarrow j} \times EPC^{i\rightarrow j}}{EPC^{i\rightarrow j} \times W^{i\rightarrow j} \times EPC^{j\rightarrow i}}
\]
where $1$ is a $|V_i| \times |V_j|$ matrix in which all the elements are $1$, and the division means respectively dividing elements in the numerator matrix by elements in the denominator matrix in the corresponding positions. This way, $RM^{ij}_{jst}$ is also a $|V_i| \times |V_j|$ matrix and $RM^{ij}_{jst}$ is the relatedness we measure between $v_i^j$ and $v_i^j$ following $P^{ij}_{jst}$.

We give an intuitive interpretation of the formulation that the numerator represents the expected number of links between generalized neighbor sets of a node pair, and the denominator represents the maximum number of potential links between them. Both of them are weighted by the product of similarity between generalized neighbors and the node pair. Here, the maximum number of potential links between two node sets corresponds to the extreme case where all nodes in the two sets are linked in pairs. We can see that the higher $RM$, the more likely that there can exist a link between the pair of source node and target node.

To be specific, let’s have a look at an example shown in Figure 3. We can derive the value of $EPC^{uis}$, $EPC^{ij}$ from the figure and compute $RM^{ij}_{jst}$ as follows:

$$
EPC^{uis} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 8 & 6 \\
3 & 8 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 6 & 5 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}, \quad EPC^{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 6 & 0 & 2 \\
6 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 5 \\
2 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix} \\
RM^{ij}_{jst} = \begin{pmatrix}
0.70 & 0.40 & 0.85 & 0.40 \\
0.69 & 0.17 & 0.78 & 0.36 \\
0.34 & 0.53 & 0.58 & 0.86 \\
0.50 & 0.55 & 0.80 & 0.55 \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Considering the existence possibility of the link between $v_i^j$ and $v_i^j$, we first find $v_1^j$, $v_2^j$ and $v_4^j$ as the generalized neighbors of $v_2^j$, and find $v_2^j$ and $v_3^j$ as the generalized neighbors of $v_3^j$. In this case, there are only two existing links across the sets $N_i^3 = \{v_i^j, v_3^j, v_4^j\}$ and $N_j^4 = \{v_i^j, v_4^j\}$. Therefore, the numerator is $2 \times 3 + 6 \times 3 = 24$, the denominator is 144, and $RM^{ij}_{jst} = 24/144 = 0.17$. It indicates that $v_i^j$ and $v_3^j$ are not likely to be linked.

Fig. 3. A simplified example of computing the relatedness measure. The arrows represent existing links between source nodes of type $i$ and target nodes of type $j$, and the numbers on the dashed lines represent similarity between the same types of nodes (i.e., expected path count derived from meta-paths $P^{uis}$ and $P^{ij}$).

{\begin{align*}
\{x_p\} &= \text{ComputingFeatures}(i,j,I) \\
1) \text{Construct the meta-path set } P^{uis} \text{ and } P^{ij} \text{ through breadth-first-search} \\
2) \text{Combine meta-paths in } P^{uis} \text{ and } P^{ij} \text{ in pairs and compute the relatedness measure as the feature vector } x_p, \forall p \in I \\
3) \text{Return } \{x_p\}
\end{align*}}

Fig. 5. The function of computing features using the relatedness measure, parameterized by the type of source node $i$, the type of target node $j$, and the index set of instances $I$.

However, in the case of $v_i^j$ and $v_4^j$, four links exist across $N_i^3 = \{v_i^j, v_3^j, v_4^j\}$ and $N_j^4 = \{v_i^j, v_4^j\}$, the generalized neighbor sets of $v_i^j$ and $v_4^j$ respectively. Especially, $v_2^j$ is the most similar node of $v_4^j$, and it links to both similar nodes of $v_i^j$ in $N_i^3$. It is important because $v_2^j$ counts more in the computation of the relatedness measure, for its largest similarity with $v_4^j$, $EPC^{uis}_{24} = 8$. $RM^{ij}_{jst} = 0.86$ indicates a high chance that there can exist a direct link between $v_i^j$ and $v_4^j$, according to the meta-path $P^{ij}_{jst}$.

To address the problem of collective link prediction, we propose an iterative framework below, using the relatedness measure to construct the feature vectors of links. Therefore, we can build a learning model for each type of links.

C. Iterative Framework

There are multiple types of links in heterogeneous information networks. For each link type, the links are partially known. Sparsity can be a problem for some link types, where there are far more unknown linkage relationship than existing links [18]. Since the potential existence of multiple types of links can interact with each other, the process of link prediction should be conducted in a collective way. In other words, apart from the existing linkage information, we can leverage predicted existence of other link types to facilitate the prediction of a particular sparse link type, which can also share its enriched linkage information in return with other link types. Thus, it is a collective and complementary process.

Inspired by co-training [2], we try to combine labeled and unlabeled data in heterogeneous information networks where existing links can be regarded as limited labeled data while unknown links are unlabeled data in large size. Different from conventional co-training that each example can be partitioned into two distinct views and shares a same set of label concepts, in the setting of heterogeneous information networks, there are different labels for each learning algorithm representing whether the corresponding type of links can exist. However, these different types of links co-exist in a network, which could be leveraged to exploit their correlations. Instead of directly exchanging their most confident predictions on unlabeled data, we consider adding the most confidently predicted links of each type into the network schema. Then meta-paths, computed from the network schema, will be updated for each type of links. In such way, complementary prediction information from different types of links can be exchanged and enriched in an indirect manner.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Input:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{G}$: a heterogeneous information network, $A$: a base learner (default: SVM), $\mathcal{V}_I$: label set of training instances, $\theta$: threshold to update $\mathcal{W}$ (default: 0.9), $\maxlen$: maximum meta-path length (default: 3), $\maxiter$: maximum # of iteration (default: 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Training Initialization:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For $k = 1$ to $m$, learn the local model $f^k$ for the $k$-th link type, $R^{ij}$:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. ${x^k_p} = \text{ComputingFeatures}(i,j,\mathcal{E}^k)$ and construct training sets $D^k = {(x^k_p, y^k_p)}, p \in \mathcal{E}^k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Let $f^k = A(D^k)$ be the local model trained on $D^k$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Iterative Inference:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repeat until convergence or #iteration $&gt; \maxiter$:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For $k = 1$ to $m$:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Sample a set of unknown links $S^k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ${x^k_p} = \text{ComputingFeatures}(i,j,S^k)$ and obtain the probabilistic output of $f^k(x^k_p), p \in S^k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Update the weighted adjacency matrix $\mathcal{W}^{ij}$ where $f^k(x^k_p)$ is above $\theta$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Output:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For $k = 1$ to $m$:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. ${x^k_p} = \text{ComputingFeatures}(i,j,T^k), p \in T^k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Return the deterministic output of $f^k(x^k_p)$ as $\hat{Y}^{T^k}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. The HCLP algorithm.

In this paper, we propose an effective algorithm to perform the heterogeneous collective link prediction, called HCLP. We summarize it in Figure 4. The algorithm is essentially a general iterative framework and it calls the function defined in Figure 5 to compute features for each link, where the relatedness measure can be replaced with other possible measure functions. It contains the following key steps:

**Training Initialization:** Basically, for the $k$-th link type, say "$\mathcal{V}^{i} \xrightarrow{R^{ij}} \mathcal{V}^{j}$", we use breadth-first-search to exploit all the meta-paths of $P^{ii}$ and $P^{ij}$ within a maximum path length $\maxlen$. It has been shown in [26] that long meta-paths are not quite useful in capturing the linkage structures of heterogeneous information networks. To avoid redundancy, a meta-path is removed if it contains another meta-path that has already been exploited. Then, meta-paths of $P^{ii}$ and $P^{ij}$ can be combined in pairs to compute the relatedness measure as the feature vectors for predicting the link type "$\mathcal{V}^{i} \xrightarrow{R^{ij}} \mathcal{V}^{j}$". Using such feature vectors, we can train a classifier $f^k$ corresponding to the $k$-th link type (i.e., $R^{ij}$).

**Iterative Inference:** Overall, it is an iterative classification algorithm [21] for the inference step. During each round of iterations, a set of unknown links $S^k$ is randomly sampled for the $k$-th link type, and the probability $P(y^k_p|x^k_p), p \in S^k$ can be obtained from the corresponding trained classifier $f^k$. The weighted adjacency matrix $\mathcal{W}^{ij}$ is then updated where the probabilistic output of $f^k(x^k_p)$ is above a threshold $\theta$.

Based on our proposed HCLP algorithm, especially the step of iterative inference, multiple types of links can be collectively predicted in heterogeneous information networks. In each round of iterations, multiple types of links are predicted in turn, and their weighted adjacency matrices would be updated at the positions where the corresponding predictions are confident enough, thereby enriched network schema can be leveraged as complementary information for prediction of other link types.

### IV. Experiments

We first introduce the procedure of data processing in section IV-A and compared approaches in section IV-B. Experimental results are summarized in section IV-C. Furthermore, we analyze the robustness of HCLP in section IV-D and conduct parameter sensitivity analysis in section IV-E.

#### A. Data Processing

We test our algorithm on SLAP dataset [4] to evaluate the performances of collective prediction of multiple types of links in heterogeneous information networks. To balance the numbers of different types of nodes, we extract the top-5000 chemical compounds and genes respectively, sorted by their degrees to each other. All the other nodes and links remain the same as in the original dataset. The task is to infer the potential existence of 11 types of links collectively. As an evaluation metric, we respectively investigate the prediction accuracy of each link type.

#### B. Compared Methods

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach in heterogeneous collective prediction of multiple types of links, we extend the measure functions introduced in [26], so that they can be applied to a pair of nodes of different types like the relatedness measure, since their original definitions as proximity measures are based on one single type of node.

- **NPC (normalized path count)** is to discount the number of paths between a pair of nodes in the network schema by the connectivity of the source node and the target node.

\[
\text{NPC}_{ij}^{pq} = \frac{2 \times EPC_{ij}^{pq}}{EPC_{ij}^{pq} + EPC_{ij}^{qp}}
\]

where $EPC_{ij}^{pq}$ denotes the total number of path instances along $P^{ij}st$ starting from $v_i^p$ and $EPC_{ij}^{qp}$ denotes the total number of path instances along $P^{ij}st$ ending at $v_q^p$.

...
sure functions introduced above, we can have HC LP
node.
• SRW (symmetric random walk) incorporates random
walks from both directions following a meta-path.
• RM (relatedness measure) is our designed measure func-
tion described in section III-B.

\[
RW_{ijst}^{pq} = \frac{EPC_{ijst}^{pq}}{EPC_{ij}^{pq}}
\]

\[
SRW_{ijst}^{pq} = \frac{EPC_{ijss}^{pq}}{EPC_{ij}^{pq}} + \frac{EPC_{jiss}^{pq}}{EPC_{ij}^{pq}}
\]

\[
RM_{ijst}^{pq} = \frac{EPC_{ijst}^{pq}}{\sum_{s=1}^{r(q)} \sum_{t=1}^{r(p)} EPC_{ij}^{ps} \times EPC_{jt}^{qs}}
\]

\[
RM_{ijst}^{pq} = \frac{\sum_{s=1}^{r(q)} \sum_{t=1}^{r(p)} EPC_{ij}^{ps} \times V^{st}(v_{ij}^{s}, v_{jt}^{q}) \times EPC_{jt}^{qs}}{\sum_{s=1}^{r(q)} \sum_{t=1}^{r(p)} EPC_{ij}^{ps} \times EPC_{jt}^{qs}}
\]

We denote the HCLP algorithm implementing RM as
HCLP(RM). By replacing RM in Figure 5 with other mea-
sure functions introduced above, we can have HCLP(NPC),
HCLP(RW), and HCLP(SRW).

In comparison with our proposed HCLP algorithm, we also
employ these measure functions in a conventional supervised
setting ILP where the prediction tasks for multiple types of
links are assumed to be independent. Such methods complete
training and prediction in one round, and they are denoted as
ILP(NPC), ILP(RW), ILP(SRW), and ILP(RM), respectively.

For a fair comparison, we use LibSVM [3] with linear kernel as
the base classifier for all the compared methods. In the
experiments, 10-fold cross validations are performed on each
type of links.

C. Performances of Heterogeneous Collective Link Prediction

It can be observed from Table II that, in comparison with
ILP, performances can be improved by implementing
link prediction collectively in heterogeneous information net-
works, no matter what measure functions are employed. For
example, HCLP(RM) generally outperforms ILP(RM), while
HCLP(SRW) does better than ILP(SRW). It demonstrates that
our proposed HCLP iterative framework can effectively work
on different measure functions to improve the performances of
predicting multiple types of links. Therefore, in heterogeneous
information networks, different types of links should be taken
care of simultaneously.

Then we study the effectiveness of different measure func-
tions on prediction of multiple types of links in heterogeneous
information networks. In the collective setting HCLP, RM

![Fig. 6. Link prediction accuracies with different label rates.](image-url)
outperforms NPC and RW and displays a slight advantage over SRW. However, no one rules all. We can safely conclude that different measure functions can be best fitted into different types of links by capturing its underlying complex physical meanings. In most cases, RM and SRW can be good alternatives.

We also notice that the proposed HCLP iterative framework can be perfectly applied to relatedness measure RM. As can be seen from Table II, HCLP with RM outperforms all the other compared methods on predicting a majority of link types. Basically, HCLP with RM effectively boosts the performances of ILP through an iterative and collective process. For prediction of the link type “chemical compound treatDisease → disease”, HCLP improves ILP in accuracy by nearly three percent.

D. Robustness

In many real-world applications, sparsity can always be a problem. To investigate the practical applicability of the HCLP algorithm, we conduct experiments where a proportion of training data of a particular link type is removed and only the remaining training data can be used in the network schema, without any changes in other types of links. Figure 6 shows the experimental results of the methods ILP and HCLP, employing RM, for predicting three important types of links “gene PPI → gene”, “chemical compound bind → gene”, and “chemical compound treatDisease → disease”. It demonstrates that HCLP outperforms ILP at different rates of labeled links. Generally, HCLP does a lot better at lower rate of labeled data. Therefore, the HCLP algorithm can effectively leverage complementary information from other link types, and improve the performances of predicting a particular link type even with its limited training data through a process of heterogeneous collective link prediction.

E. Parameter Sensitivity Analysis

There are two issues in the sensitivity analysis experiments of the HCLP algorithm. Figure 7 illustrates the sensitivity of the methods HCLP with RM and HCLP with SRW to the parameter maxiter denoting the maximum number of iterations. As can be seen, the first few rounds of iterations can effectively boost the performances of predicting multiple types of links, and prediction accuracies stabilize as the number of iterations continues to increase. It means our proposed HCLP algorithm can quickly converge within a few rounds of iterations.

Sensitivity of the HCLP algorithm to the threshold  is shown in Figure 8 where it plots the experimental results of HCLP implementing different measure functions as changes. The parameter  is a minimum value that the probabilistic prediction of a sampled link should reach when we update its corresponding weighted adjacency matrix in the step of iterative inference. Generally, the performances of HCLP, employing different measure functions, are quite stable regardless of the value of for predicting some types of links. In other cases, the performances are improved as increases, which implies that a low value of can bring in noise into the network schema and mislead the final predictions. Thus, a slightly high value of , as 0.90, is preferred in the HCLP algorithm.
V. RELATED WORK

Link prediction, mainly in homogeneous information networks [20], has been extensively studied in recent years. Previous work can be generally categorized as follows: (1) unsupervised approaches which focus on proposing different similarity measures, either based upon graph topology or node attributes [1], [19]; (2) supervised approaches which exploit different features from the training set and use supervised learning models to predict the potential existence of links [10], [30], [20]. For a detailed survey on link prediction, please refer to [8].

Heterogeneous information networks have attracted much attention in recent years. Sun et al. studied the clustering problem and the top-k similarity problem in heterogeneous information networks [28], [26]. Ming et al. studied a specialized classification problem in heterogeneous information networks where different types of nodes share a same set of label concepts [13].

Besides, there are related work on link prediction in heterogeneous information networks. The notion of meta-path was applied to redefine the graph proximity measures in heterogeneous information networks [25]. However, it was confined to predicting links between one single type of nodes. Instead of meta-path, the notion of triad census was used to determine the weights of different combinations of edge types [6]. Shi et al. studied the relevance search problem in heterogeneous networks to measure the relatedness of heterogeneous objects [24]. Moreover, the heterogeneous link prediction problem was studied in the unsupervised setting and one single type of edges were predicted independently [16], [7].
VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied the problem of collective link prediction in heterogeneous information networks. Conventional approaches for link prediction mainly focus on homogeneous networks. However, in many real-world applications, there are multiple types of links in heterogeneous information networks and the potential existence of different types of links can interact with each other. Therefore, we introduce the linkage homophily principle and design a relatedness measure, called R\text{M}, between different types of objects to compute the existence probability of a link. We also extend conventional proximity measures to heterogeneous links. Furthermore, we propose an iterative framework, called HCLP, to predict multiple types of links collectively by exploiting diverse and complex linkage information in heterogeneous information networks. Empirical studies on real-world tasks demonstrate that our proposed method for heterogeneous collective link prediction can effectively facilitate the process of collectively predicting multiple types of links in heterogeneous information networks.
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