

**CS524**  
**HW#3**

**DUE:** Monday, February 28

1. (3 points) Do **EXERCISE 7.2-6** on pg. 153 of our text.
2. (4 points) Do **EXERCISE 7.4-6** on pg. 159 of our text.
3. (10 points) **MEDIAN-OF-3 QUICKSORT**. Write a program **QUICKSORT**, either from the algorithm on pg. 146 of the text or from class or from the CD that came with the text or from elsewhere. Time your program for a large, random array  $A$ . Then modify your program to implement **MEDIAN-OF-3 QUICKSORT** (described in class and in **PROBLEM 7-5** on pg. 162 of our text) and time the new program. What % speed-up do you get from your program?
4. (7 points) (From Baase and Van Gelder's *Computer Algorithms*) Suppose you have an algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_1$  to solve a problem of size  $n$  in  $\Theta(n^2)$  steps. You discover a divide-&-conquer algorithm  $\mathcal{A}_2$  which can, in  $\Theta(n \lg n)$  time, divide a problem of size  $n$  into two subproblems of size  $n/2$ , and then, in time  $\Theta(n \lg n)$ , recombine two solutions of size  $n/2$  into a solution of the original problem. Which algorithm,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  or  $\mathcal{A}_2$ , is more efficient? You may assume that  $n$  is a power of 2. Justify your response.

**CS524**  
**HW#3 SOLUTIONS**

1. The array  $A[1..n]$  is PARTITIONED into subarrays of sizes  $\alpha n$  and  $(1-\alpha)n$  if the pivot element is the  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$  smallest or the  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$  largest element of  $A$ . If the pivot element lies between these elements (the  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$  smallest and the  $\alpha^{\text{th}}$  largest), then the split is at least as balanced as  $1-\alpha$  to  $\alpha$ . Since  $1-2\alpha$  elements lie within this window, the probability of a split at least as balanced as  $\alpha$  to  $(1-\alpha)$  is approximately  $1-2\alpha$ .

2. The only way the split could be worse than a  $\alpha$ -to- $(1-\alpha)$  is if two of the three numbers are among the  $\alpha n$  smallest or the  $\alpha n$  largest elements of  $A$ . The probability that exactly two of the numbers are among the  $\alpha n$  smallest is  $\binom{3}{2}\alpha^2(1-\alpha) = 3\alpha^2(1-\alpha)$ ,

The probability that all three of the numbers are among the  $\alpha n$  smallest is  $\alpha^3$ . The probability that at least two of the numbers are among the  $\alpha n$  smallest is  $3\alpha^2(1-\alpha) + \alpha^3 = \alpha^2(3-2\alpha)$ . The events of the two smallest being among the  $\alpha n$  smallest and the two largest being among the  $\alpha n$  largest are mutually exclusive, so the probability of the union of these events is the sum of the individual probabilities. So the probability of the two smallest being among the  $\alpha n$  smallest and the two largest being among the  $\alpha n$  largest is  $2\alpha^2(3-2\alpha)$ , which is the probability of getting worse than a  $\alpha$ -to- $(1-\alpha)$  split.

4. Letting  $T(n)$  denote the time for  $\mathcal{A}_2$  to solve the problem, we derive the recurrence

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn \lg n$$

where  $c$  is the sum of the constants hidden in the asymptotic notation for the complexities of the division and the recombination of  $\mathcal{A}_2$ . Unfolding the recurrence,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + cn \lg n \\ &= 2\left(2T(n/4) + c\frac{n}{2}\lg\frac{n}{2}\right) + cn \lg n = 4T(n/4) + cn \lg n + cn(\lg n - 1) \\ &= 4\left(2T(n/8) + c\frac{n}{4}\lg\frac{n}{4}\right) + cn \lg n + cn(\lg n - 1) = 8T(n/8) + cn \lg n + cn(\lg n - 1) + cn \lg(n-2) \end{aligned}$$

After  $k$  levels of unfolding,

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2^k T(n/2^k) + cn(\lg^2 n - (1+2+\dots+(k-1))) \\ &= 2^k T(n/2^k) + cn\left(\lg^2 n - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The unfolding stops when  $2^k = n$ , or  $k = \lg n$ . So

$$T(n) = 2^{\lg n} T(n/2^{\lg n}) + cn \left( \lg^2 n - \frac{\lg n (\lg n - 1)}{2} \right) = n + cn \left( \lg^2 n - \frac{\lg n (\lg n - 1)}{2} \right) \in \Theta(n \lg^2 n)$$

This also follows from the version of the Master Theorem we did in class, though not the text's version.