

CS3133
FINAL EXAM

Name _____

Date: October 16, 2008

All documentation permitted

1. (10 points) Prove or give a counterexample to the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE: The complement of any Context-Free Language is not a Context-Free Language.

2. (40 points) For each of the following languages, tell whether or not it is Context-Free. Justify your answer.

a $L = \{a^i \mid i \geq 0\} \cup \{a^i b^i \mid i \geq 0\} \cup \{a^i b^k c^i \mid i \geq 0, k \geq 2\}$

b L is the set of all strings over $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ such that every prefix of every string in L contains at least as many c 's as the number of a 's plus the number of b 's. Thus, $ccabccb \in L$ and $\varepsilon \in L$ but $ccabccbacc \notin L$. Note that $ccabccbacc \notin L$ because it contains the prefix $ccabccbba$ which has five a 's and b 's but only four c 's.

3. (25 points) Is the following CONJECTURE true? Justify your answer.

CONJECTURE: There is a constant c such that for every Context-Free Language L , there is a Context-Free Grammar G such that for every string $z \in L$ there is a derivation of z in G of length at most $c * |z|$.

4. (25 points) Are recursive languages closed under concatenation? That is, if L_0 and L_1 are recursive, must L_0L_1 be recursive? Justify your answer.

CS3133
Solutions to FINAL EXAM

1. The CONJECTURE is false. The languages \emptyset and $(0+1)^*$ over $\Sigma = \{0,1\}$ are each Context-Free, because they admit the grammars G_\emptyset with no productions and $G_{(0+1)^*}$ with productions $S \rightarrow 0S|1S|\varepsilon$, and each is the complement of the other.

2. **a** $\{a^i | i \geq 0\} \cup \{a^i b^i | i \geq 0\} \cup \{a^i b^j c^i | i \geq 0, j \geq 2\}$ is a CFL. It is generated by

$$S \rightarrow S_1 | S_2 | S_3$$

$$S_1 \rightarrow aS_1 | \varepsilon$$

$$S_2 \rightarrow aS_2 b | \varepsilon$$

$$S_3 \rightarrow aS_4 c | S_4$$

$$S_4 \rightarrow bS_4 | bb$$

b We accept the language by final state with a one state PDA. State q is the start state and the final state.

$$\delta(q, c, \perp) = \{(q, c \perp)\}$$

$$\delta(q, c, c) = \{(q, cc)\}$$

$$\delta(q, a, c) = \{(q, \varepsilon)\}$$

$$\delta(q, b, c) = \{(q, \varepsilon)\}$$

3. The CONJECTURE is true. We know that every CFL L admits a CFG G in CNF to generate $L - \{\varepsilon\}$. We know that if G is in CNF, then every production either makes the sentential form one longer (starting with S this can happen $|z| - 1$ times) or adds a terminal (this can happen $|z|$ times). So we choose $c=2$.

4. Yes, recursive languages are closed under concatenation. Assume that L_0 and L_1 are recursive. To test if $a_1 \dots a_n \in L_0 L_1$ we execute

if $a_1 \dots a_n \in L_0 \wedge \varepsilon \in L_1$ **or** $\varepsilon \in L_0 \wedge a_1 \dots a_n \in L_1$ **then return accept**

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** $n-1$ **do**

if $a_1 \dots a_i \in L_0$ **and** $a_{i+1} \dots a_n \in L_1$ **then return accept**

return reject