

CS3133

HW#4

DUE: Tuesday, September 30

1. Give a context-free grammar for each of the following languages.

a (3 points) $\{01(0011)^n 11(10)^n \mid n \geq 0\}$.

b (3 points) $\{0^m 1^n 2^{m+n} \mid m, n \geq 0\}$.

c (3 points) $\{0^n w w^r 1^n \mid n \geq 0 \wedge w \in \{0,1\}^*\}$ where w^r is w written in reverse order. For example, $(011)^r = 110$.

2. (10 points) For CFG $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$, we call $x \in N \cup \Sigma$ *untouchable* if there does not

exist a derivation $S \xrightarrow[G]{*} \alpha x \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in (N \cup \Sigma)^*$. Prove or give a

counterexample to the following.

CONJECTURE: For any context free language L there exists a CFG grammar G without untouchable symbols such that $L = L(G)$.

3. **a** (8 points) Give a grammar equivalent to

$$S \rightarrow ASA \mid aB$$

$$A \rightarrow B \mid S$$

$$B \rightarrow b \mid \varepsilon$$

which does not have any unit productions or ε – productions.

b (4 points) Give a grammar equivalent to

$$S \rightarrow ASA \mid aB$$

$$A \rightarrow B \mid S$$

$$B \rightarrow b \mid \varepsilon$$

in Chomsky Normal Form.

4. (10 points) For any language L we define a new language, $Suff(L)$, which is the set of all strings which are suffixes of strings of L . That is,

$$Suff(L) = \{x_j \dots x_n \mid 1 \leq j \leq n \wedge x_1 \dots x_n \in L\} \cup \{\varepsilon\} .$$

So if $L = \{001, 11\}$, then $Suff(L) = \{001, 01, 1, \varepsilon, 11\}$. Prove or give a counterexample to the following

Conjecture: The set of all context-free languages is closed under the *Suff* operation. That is, for any context-free language L , the language $Suff(L)$ is also context-free.

CS3133

Solutions for HW#4

1. **a**

$$S \rightarrow 01A$$

$$A \rightarrow 0011A10|11$$

b

$$S \rightarrow 0S2|A$$

$$A \rightarrow 1A2|\varepsilon$$

c

$$S \rightarrow 0S1|A$$

$$A \rightarrow 0A0|1A1|\varepsilon$$

2. If L is a CFL, then there exists CFG $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ such that $L(G) = L$. Clearly, we can remove all untouchable symbols from $N \cup \Sigma$, and all productions containing these symbols, without changing the language that G generates. We proceed by identifying *touchable* symbols, τ , and then the untouchable symbols are $(N \cup \Sigma) / \tau$.

$$\tau \leftarrow \{S\}$$

for each $A \in N \cap \tau$ **do**

for each production $(A \rightarrow \alpha) \in P$

 add each symbol of α to τ

until τ doesn't change

remove from P all productions containing a symbol not in τ

3. aA and B are nullable. Removing ε -productions yields

$$S \rightarrow ASA|SA|AS|S|aB|a$$

$$A \rightarrow B|S$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

To remove unit productions, we first compute $CHAIN(S) = \{S\}$, $CHAIN(A) = \{B, S\}$, $CHAIN(B) = \emptyset$. Then we remove the unit productions

$$S \rightarrow ASA|SA|AS|aB|a$$

$$A \rightarrow b|ASA|SA|AS|aB|a$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

b

$$S \rightarrow AB_1|SA|AS|B_aB|a$$

$$B_1 \rightarrow SA$$

$$B_a \rightarrow a$$

$$A \rightarrow b|AB_1|SA|AS|B_aB|a$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

5. The CONJECTURE is true. If L is a context-free language, there must be a CFG in Chomsky Normal Form $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ such that $L = L(G) - \{\varepsilon\}$. We construct $G^* = (N^*, \Sigma, P^*, S_{suff})$ from G , satisfying $Suff(G) = L(G^*)$, in the following way. For each $A \in N$ we add A_{suff} to the nonterminals. That is, $N^* = N \cup \{A_{suff} \mid A \in N\}$. We note that G^* is not in CNF.

We do not change any of the productions $A \rightarrow w$ for any $A \in N$, so the string of terminals which can be derived from each $A \rightarrow w$ is unchanged. That is, the language generated from (N, Σ, P, A) is the same as the language generated from (N^*, Σ, P^*, A) . For each production of the form $A \rightarrow a$ in P , $A \in N$ and $a \in \Sigma$, we add the productions $A_{suff} \rightarrow a \mid \varepsilon$ to P^* . For each production of the form $A \rightarrow BC$ in P , $A, B, C \in N$, we add the productions $A_{suff} \rightarrow AB \mid A_{suff}B \mid B \mid B_{suff}$ to P^* .