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What is Dialog?

- a conversation between two participants
  - verbal communication
    - spoken or written
  - at least two turns
    - each turn consists of one or more utterances
    - not necessarily complete sentences
    - backchannels (uh-huh), overlapping, interruptions
  - what about more than two participants?
    - more complex turn-taking rules
    - dialog is a two-person discourse
- in a shared context
  - not just any random utterances
  - e.g., a story or collaboration

What is the Purpose of Dialog?

- contributes to participants’ goals in the context
  - example
    - my goal (desired world state) is for the window to be open
    - I say “Please open the window” to person standing next to the window
    - if person is cooperative, she says “Ok”
    - she opens the window
  - is it still a dialog if she skips saying “Ok”?
    - yes, if she opens the window (nonverbal response)
    - notice interleaving.coordination of communication (utterances) and action (world state changes)
Cognitive Modeling of Dialog
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What is the Immediate Purpose of Dialog?

- the speaker is trying to achieve a change in the mental state of the hearer, including:
  - emotional state
    - "your mother wears army boots"
    - "I love you"
  - beliefs
    - "roses are red"
    - "I'm scared"
  - goals (intentions)
    - "please open the window"
    - "don’t look in there"

What about Questions?

- “What time is it?”
  - speaker’s goal is to change his own mental state
    - to one in which he knows the time
  - speaker could achieve goal by looking at clock
  - but if no clock, can achieve goal indirectly
    - by changing mental state of hearer (with wrist watch)
    - to include goal of telling speaker the time
  - e.g., “Please tell me the time.”

Levels of Language Representation

0. Sound Waves (Speech)
1. Words (Surface Form)
2. Syntax
3. Semantics
4. Pragmatics

many-to-one mappings from each level to next
- multiple surface forms with same syntax
- multiple syntactic forms with same semantics
- etc.
1. Surface Form (Realization)

- The sequence of words that are actually written, read, spoken or heard.
- Two utterances, e.g., in two different languages, may differ only in their surface forms:
  - *English*: “the roses are red”
  - *French*: “les roses sont rouges”
- Or even in the *same* language:
  - *Active*: “John kissed Mary”
  - *Passive*: “Mary was kissed by John”

2. Syntax

- Parsing (“diagramming”) a sentence in terms of:
  - *part of speech tags*: adjective, preposition, noun, etc.
  - *syntactic roles*: subject, verb, (direct/indirect) object, etc.

  \[
  \text{art} \quad \text{adj} \quad \text{adj} \quad \text{n} \quad \text{v} \quad \text{prep} \quad \text{art} \quad \text{adj} \quad \text{n}
  \]

- “The quick brown fox jumped over the lazy dog.”

  \[
  (S \quad [NP \text{The quick brown fox}] \quad [VP \text{jumped} \quad [PP \text{over} \quad [NP \text{the lazy dog}]]])
  \]

3. Semantics

- The *meaning* of a sentence *in isolation*
- Much less standardized than syntax
  - frame-based semantics
  - logical (axiomatic) semantics
  - probabilistic semantics
  - etc., etc.
- Two sentences with different surface form and different syntax may have *same* semantics:
  - “John kissed Mary.”
    - \[S \quad [NP \text{John}] \quad [VP \text{kissed} \quad [NP \text{Mary}]]\]
  - “Mary was kissed by John.”
    - \[S \quad [NP \text{Mary}] \quad [VP \text{was kissed} \quad [PP \text{by} \quad [NP \text{John}]]]\]
- frame semantics:
  - \{(action: kiss, agent: John, theme: Mary, time: past )\}

4. Pragmatics

- *Everything else* about how the utterance functions in its *context*
- Even less standardized than semantics
  - E.g., goal/belief modification semantics

  \[
  \text{Goal(WindowOpen)} \quad \text{Goal(WindowOpen)} \quad \text{Goa} \quad \text{Goal(WindowOpen)} \quad \text{Goal(WindowOpen)}
  \]

  “Please open the window”

  \[
  \text{Goal(WindowOpen)} \quad \text{Goal(WindowOpen)} \quad \text{Goal(WindowOpen)} \quad \text{Goal(WindowOpen)}
  \]

  “Ok”
(Spoken) Language Understanding

- Start with (sound wave or) words
- Compute pragmatic function

Speech Recognition

Speech Understanding

- (Perhaps) mapping through syntactic and semantic forms along the way...

Language Generation

- Start with pragmatic (deep) representation
- Output surface form

- (Perhaps) mapping through semantic and syntactic form along the way...

State of the Art in Academic Research

- unrestricted language (speech) understanding input
- constrained domain
- full syntactic parsing, semantic interpretation
- pragmatics
- general-purpose language generation

State of the Art in Academic Research

- unrestricted (spoken) language understanding input
- constrained domain
- statistical approach
- canned language generation (voice acting)
Language Understanding Challenges

- **Coverage**
  - you can make almost anything work if you restrict the domain enough
    - know all the words that will be used
    - know all the purposes (pragmatics)
  - e.g., airline reservation system
  - but not the Turing Test

- **Semantics**
  - lack of agreement inhibits generalization and sharing of results

Language Generation Challenges

- **Expressiveness**
  - how to say the same thing with different styles, emotional content, etc.
  - e.g., “Hello” vs. “Yo, dude”
  - need computational theory which separates style and content [cf. AIIDE 2011 paper]

- **Coherence**
  - generation needs to have wider window than single utterance
  - planning a sequence of utterances (anaphora, etc.)

Dialog in Games

- In what genres is dialog most important?
  - role playing games (RPG)
  - text adventure (interactive fiction - IF)
  - first person shooters (FPS)
  - real-time strategy (RTS)
  - sports? casual? serious? ...

Dialog between Whom?

- **player ↔ NPC**
  - main challenge and research focus
  - “dialog trees” commonly used

- **NPC ↔ NPC**
  - player is bystander

- **player ↔ player**
  - e.g., in MMO’s
  - no problem for humans on both ends
  - system/NPC as bystander?
**Player-NPC Dialog**

- Two computational problems to solve
  - generating NPC utterances
  - understanding player utterances

- Dialog trees
  - common solution to *both at the same time*
  - all possible player and NPC utterances authored in advance
  - decision tree based on user choices

---

**Dialog Trees**

```
Speak("Welcome stranger. What brings thee among us gentle folk?")
reply = player.SpeakOption(1, "Yo dude, wazzup?", 2, "I want your money, your woman and that chicken")
if reply == 1 then
  Speak("Wazzuuuuup!")
else if reply == 2 then
  Speak("Well, well. A fight ye wants, is it? Ye can’t just go around these parts demandin’ chickens from folk. Yer likely to get that ugly face smashed in. Be off with thee!")
end
```

[From Buckland, Chapter 6]

---

**Advantages**

- fast & flexible – code can do anything
- reliable – no misunderstandings
- expressive – author has complete control to create desired style, character, atmosphere, etc.

**Disadvantages**

- restricts player
- very labor intensive
- doesn’t scale well to complex interactions
  - must keep variability down to keep labor down
  - leads to lack of replayability
  - can help somewhat by designing special editors and engines for executing dialog trees

**Morrowind (TES) Dialog Editor**

- database of utterances
  - organized around extensible set of “topics”
  - each utterance has conditions and results
  - including menu choices
  - simple templating via variables (name, etc.)
  - sort of like programming a rule-based system

http://tommyshideout.net/files/srikandi/DialogueTut
Text Adventure Games

also called “interactive fiction” (IF)
- confusing with “interactive drama” and “interactive storytelling”

started with Adventure in 1975
- Infocom's Zork series in 70's and 80's

Interactive Fiction Competition (2008)
- restricted syntax and semantics
  - few hundred standard verbs
  - open-ended nouns
  - simple (learned) command syntax
  - highly evolved set of ad hoc techniques

Text Adventures Games

>WAIT
Time passes...

The scooter glides into the station's docking port. The retro-thrusters bring the scooter to a halt. As the docking port fills with air the scooter's hatch opens.

>LOOK
Scooter, in the pilot's couch
You are in the cramped one-man space scooter. Through the viewport, you see the docking port of Space Station BG-12. The scooter's hatch is open.
You can see a toolbox here.

>OPEN THE TOOLBOX
You can't reach it from the pilot's couch.

>STAND UP
You are standing again.

>OPEN THE TOOLBOX
It's locked.

>LEAVE THE SCOOTER
Docking Port
This is the huge docking port of the space station. Only one ship is here at the moment, a one-man scooter, so the docking port seems unusually empty. A tube leads down toward the heart of the station,

>DOWN
Main Hallway, Sector M
This is the station's main corridor, which continues to port and starboard. A tube leads up toward the docking port.
Emerging Trends in Game Dialog

- Natural language understanding
  - replacing fixed menu choices
  - give player more flexibility to express herself
- Natural language generation
  - generating NPC utterances procedurally
  - reduces authoring labor
- Speech

Façade

- State of the art in experimental game NLU
  - unrestricted text input
  - micro-domain (very constrained)
  - go directly from surface form to pragmatic effect
  - broad, shallow, author-intensive techniques
  - cheating strategies when doesn’t understand

Façade – Surface Text Rules

- word spotting and pattern matching rules
  - dialog acts (pragmatic)
    - ("hello" | "hi") [ "there" ] ➔ Hello
    - "grace" ➔ Character(Grace)
    - Hello && Character(?char) ➔ Greet(?char)
- example dialog acts:
  - Agree(?char), Disagree(?char)
  - Express(?char, ?emotion)
  - ReferTo(?char, ?object)

ANDI-Land

- restricted natural language text input
  - using context-free grammar
  - shows user possible syntactic completions as player types
  - underlying logical theorem-prover
  - all output generated procedurally

http://www.interactivestory.net
(2005)

http://www.andi-land.com
“Logical Agents for Language and Action”,
M. Magnusson & P. Doherty,
Linkoping U., Sweden, AIIDE’08
Magni: “Who owns the axe?”

- parsing
  [S Who [VP owns [NP the axe]]]
- semantic interpretation
  informRef(magni, value(12:15, owner(axe)))
- theorem proving
  inform(magni, ld(value(12:15, owner(axe)), smith))
- reversible grammar

Smith: “I own the axe.”

Magni: “Sell the axe to me.”

- parsing
  [S [VP sell [NP the axe] [PP to me]]]
- semantic interpretation
  ∃t1,t2 [Occurs(smith, (t1,t2), sell(axe, magni))]
- theorem proving
  Committed(smith, t1, Occurs...) ∧
  Executable(smith, (t1,t2), sell(axe,magni)) ∧
  Believes(smith, t1, ActionId(sell(axe, magni), sell(axe, magni))) ⇒
  Occurs(smith, (t1,t2), sell(axe, magni)))

Natural Language Generation

- Generating NPC to NPC dialog for Interactive Storytelling
  - no pre-authored dialog
  - situations generated by autonomous planning agents
  - using logic and templates to generate surface forms

Speech

- Speech recognition
- Speech generation
- Speech in games
  - experiments with player speech input
  - NPC speech output almost always recorded
Speech Recognition

- widely available commercial systems
  - all based on HMM (Hidden Markov Models) trained on large corpora
  - built into Mac Leopard, Windows Vista, iPhone 4S
- easier vs. harder versions
  - isolated word vs. continuous
  - speaker trained vs. speaker independent
  - small vs. large vocabulary
  - grammar-based vs. dictation
  - push-to-talk vs. open-microphone (keyword spotting)

Speech Generation

- text to speech
- widely available commercial systems
  - many different “voices”
  - never sounds as good as recorded voices
  - built into Mac Leopard, Windows Vista, iPhone 4S
- two approaches
  - concatenative
    - chops up and stitches back together recorded voices
    - usually sounds pretty good
    - a lot of labor to produce each voice
  - model-based
    - uses mathematical model of vocal tract
    - easy to adjust parameters to get different voices
    - less natural sounding

Emotional Speech Generation

- research of Catherine Pelachaud
- same words but different sounds (and gestures) for different emotional states

Lifeline

- Sony 2003
- single word commands
- not too successful
Clancy's EndWar

- Ubisoft 2009
- Andi-Land style menu, but using voice

Mass Effect 3

- Ubisoft 2011
- Kinect voice recognition
- Voice selection from regular dialog menus

Alelo Tactical Language

- 2008 spinoff of USC research
- Very successful serious game

http://tacticallanguage.com

Summary

- Natural language and dialog in games
  - Academic research techniques mature
  - A lot of interest at points of overlap between academia and industry (e.g., AIIDE)
  - Initial experimentation in games mixed
  - Potential for breakthrough application in games in next few years