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Overview

- Wireless networking problem: Channel Allocation
- A proposed solution: Dynamic Channel Selection
- Proposed algorithm explained.
- Simulations using OPNET
- Conclusions
Problem:

- Wireless networking is popular
  - Many users in contention for a finite amount of bandwidth per channel
  - Most users do not properly configure their devices to maximize throughput
  - Even if they do, the situation can change at any time
- Wireless Channel Selection Issues Include:
  - High density of APs
  - Unpredictable, Dynamic load
Solution:

- Dynamically select channel based on current load conditions

Problems:

- What devices should calculate load in an unmanaged space?
- How should load be calculated?
- How often should load be calculated?
- What is the best way to switch channels on the fly?
Algorithm Overview

- **Monitoring Component**
  - Monitor load on current channel

- **Evaluation Component**
  - Compare current channel load against a threshold
  - Trigger switch if this channel is no good
  - Change threshold accordingly

- **Channel Switching Component**
  - Set up the hardware for new channel
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*Figure 3. Function modules and data flow*
Algorithm

Figure 4. Flow chart algorithm for evaluation function
Channel Switching Coordination

- No Coordination
  - The AP simply switches channels, expecting the client to lose connectivity and reconnect

- AP-Client Coordination
  - The AP tells the client what channel to switch to and when (SWITCH message)
  - This is supported by 802.11k

- AP-AP Coordination
  - The AP tells other APs what channel it plans to switch to to avoid congestion (HOLD message)
Where To Calculate Network Load

- Because this is an unmanaged, decentralized system:
  - Devices are not distributed across the space evenly
  - No one device can be guaranteed to hear all other devices
  - No one device can be trusted to calculate fairly for all devices
  - All devices must calculate load for their particular space and situation
Which metric?

Figure 5. MAC delay versus traffic load

Figure 6. Channel Utilization versus traffic load
Calculating Network Load

- Dynamic nature of traffic
  - Dynamic number of clients
  - Dynamic bandwidth demand
- Channel Utilization
  - Many spikes – can cause false triggers
- Transmit Queue Length
  - Easy to measure
  - Highly variable – many false triggers
- MAC/Packet Delay
  - Transmit queue and channel contention time measured
  - Most attractive – very steady readings
Opnet Simulation

- Utilizes both SWITCH for AP-Client coordination and HOLD for AP-AP coordination

Comparison:

- All APs and clients on a single channel
- All APs and clients on non-overlapping channels
- APs set to dynamically configure channel and coordinate with clients and other APs
Simulation Result

- Single channel performance was poor – as expected
- Manually configured on non-overlapping channels provided the most throughput – as expected
- Dynamic configuration provided about 5% less throughput than the manually configured non-overlapping channel setup
Simulation Result

Figure 7. Average throughput as a function of increasing node density
Tuning the Algorithm: Coordination

- Removing Client-AP coordination – for legacy clients – reduces performance around the channel switch by a constant factor based on rescan/reconnect time
  - Remove the SWITCH capability
  - About 7% delay was gained and 2% throughput was lost
- Removing AP-AP coordination – the HOLD capability – reduces the global throughput by about 3%
Tuning the Algorithm: Parameters

- Load Average
  - The load is calculated using a moving average to negate small changes
- Shrinking the averaging interval
  - Causes the system to converge on the maximum global throughput quickly
  - Causes excessive channel switching
- Increasing the averaging interval
  - Slower convergence
  - Less time wasted switching channels
- Same is true of the channel hold time \( t_{\text{HOLD}} \)
Tuning the Algorithm: Parameters (contin)

- **thres_min**
  - The threshold for channel switching
  - Set to about 50% of channel capacity
  - Higher values increase convergence time
  - Lower causes increased number of channel switches
Tuning the Algorithm: Parameters (contin)

Figure 12. Throughput for varying MAC delay thresholds
Conclusions

- A decentralized algorithm that works.
- Delay based metrics are suitable.
- 95% throughput compared to manual config.
- Handles varying usage.
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