Adaptive RED: An Algorithm for Increasing the Robustness of RED's Active Queue Management or

How I learned to stop worrying and love **RED**

Presented by:
 Frank Posluszny
 Vishal Phirke

Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.

Who are they authors?
 Sally Floyd (original RED author)
 Ramakrishna Gummadi (CS grad - intern)
 Scott Shenker (works with Sally Floyd)

• Goals:

- People want a guaranteed delay, which RED can't do without constantly adjusting the parameters
- "Our goal... is to solve this problem with minimal changes to the overall RED algorithm."

Background and Related Work

- Metrics and Scenarios
- Pre-results
- Adaptive RED Algorithm.
- Parameters and their values
- Simulations.
- Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.
- Conclusions.

Background & Related Work - 1

Quick review of RED

- Try to maintain queue size under a threshold, assuming that as we get closer to that threshold then congestion will start to occur
- Once we "foresee" congestion, drop with an increasing probability

Background & Related Work - 2

- Problems, problems everywhere...
 - Tuning RED for Web Traffic
 - A number of papers point out problems with oscillations in the instantaneous queue size (Misra et at., Hollot et al., Firoiu et al.)
 - Average queuing delay
 - Throughput

Background & Related Work - 3

Suggested fixes...

- Jacobson (how to set w_a)
- Ziegler (tighter bound for ave_{a})
- Feng (adapt max_p)
- AVG (keep queue size small, token bucket)
- SRED (estimate #of active flows)
- DRED (keep queue size near a threshold)

Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.

Metrics & Scenarios - 1

- the NS network simulator is used for all tests/scenarios
- router-based metrics vs. user-based
- worst-case is not their concern
- not looking at queue length oscillations directly

Metrics & Scenarios - 2

- Wide range or traffic scenarios
 - range of workloads (long vs. short lived)
 - levels of statistical multiplexing
 - levels of congestion
 - reverse traffic
 - with & without ECN
 - large window advertisements
 - different packet sizes

Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.



Delay-Utilization tradeoff with RED.
$$w_q = 0.002$$





Figure 3: Delay-Utilization Tradeoff with Adaptive RED.







Figure 5: Delay-Loss Tradeoff with Adaptive RED.



Time (in Seconds)



Figure 8: RED with a Decrease in Congestion.



Figure 9: Adaptive RED with a Decrease in Congestion.

Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

•Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.

Adaptive RED Algorithm

MAX_p is adapted to keep AVG_q around (MIN_{th}+MAX_{th})/2
AIMD is used for Adapting MAX_p
Adaptation is slow – 0.5sec interval
MAX_p bounded between [0.01,0.5]



Adaptive RED Algorithm

Every interval seconds(0.5sec): If(AVG > Target and $MAX_p < 0.5$) $MAX_p = MAX_p + \infty$ Else if(AVG < Target and $MAX_p > 0.01$) $MAX_p = MAX_p *$

$$\sim$$
 = Min(0.01, MAX_p/4)

$$\beta = 0.9$$

2/9/02

Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.



Upper bound 0.5 Not trying to optimize for packet drop rates more than 50%. •Gentle RED MAX_n Lower bound 0.01 MIN_{th} MAX_{th} 2MAX_{th} •No one will object lower delays Limits the MAX_p Range – Important as Adaptation is slow (0.5 sec interval) 2/9/02 21

Values of Increment And decrement

$$p = MAX_{p} \quad \frac{(AVG - MIN_{th})}{(MAX_{th} - MIN_{th})}$$
$$AVG_{1} = MIN_{th} + \frac{p}{MAX_{p}} (MAX_{th} - MIN_{th})$$
$$AVG_{2} = MIN_{th} + \frac{p}{MAXp + \infty} (MAX_{th} - MIN_{th})$$

Target Range > AVG2 – AVG1

$$\propto = \min(0.01, \text{MAX}_{p}/4)$$

 $\beta = 0.9$

2/9/02

Target Range

 $MAX_{th} = 3 * MIN_{th}$ - As per latest recommendation of Sally Floyd. (They don't follow it in their simulations.)

> W_q gives a Time Constant in terms of packet arrival rate for AVG queue to adapt. (-1/ln(1-W_q)) - Original RED

Since it is in terms of packet arrival rate, should be dependent on link capacity C.

$$C = -1/\ln(1-W_q) \implies W_q = 1 - \exp(-1/C)$$

2/9/02

Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.

RED, one-way long-lived traffic, $W_q = 0.002$

100 long-lived flows, 250ms RTT, MIN_{th}=20, MAX_{th}=80



Adaptive-RED, one way long-lived traffic $W_q = 0.00027$

100 long-lived flows, 250ms RTT, MIN_{th}=20, MAX_{th}=80



RED, two flows, $W_q = 0.002$ (Large W_q)

2 TCP flows, 1st start at time 0, 2nd at 2.5sec



RED, automatic setting for W_q , 0.00027

2 TCP flows, 1st start at time 0, 2nd at 2.5sec



RED, W_q too small, 0.0001

2 TCP flows, 1st start at time 0, 2nd at 2.5sec



Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff





Background and Related Work

Metrics and Scenarios

Pre-results

Adaptive RED Algorithm.

Parameters and their values

Simulations.

Delay-Throughput Tradeoff.



