

Automatic Memory Management

Lecture 24



Lecture Outline

- Why Automatic Memory Management?
- Garbage Collection
- Three Techniques
 - Mark and Sweep
 - Stop and Copy
 - Reference Counting
- Reading: Appel, Sections 13.1 – 13.3

Manual vs. Automatic Memory Management

- Manual:
 - C: malloc() ... free()
 - C++: new ... delete
- Automatic:
 - Java: new ... (hidden) garbage collector

Why Automatic Memory Management?

- Storage management is still a hard problem in modern programming
- C and C++ programs have many storage bugs
 - forgetting to free unused memory
 - dereferencing a dangling pointer
 - overwriting parts of a data structure by accident
 - and so on...
- Storage bugs are hard to find
 - a bug can lead to a visible effect far away in time and program text from the source

Type Safety and Memory Management

- Some storage bugs can be prevented in a strongly typed language
 - e.g., you cannot overrun the array limits
 - e.g., you cannot assign a pointer to `Int` to a pointer to `String`
- Can types prevent errors in programs with manual allocation and deallocation of memory?
 - No practical solutions exist (it's hard for the compiler to tell if you are going to use the object that you are freeing).
- If you want type safety then you must use automatic memory management

Automatic Memory Management

- This is an old problem:
 - studied since the 1950s for LISP
- There are several well-known techniques for performing completely automatic memory management
- Until recently they were unpopular outside the Lisp family of languages
 - just like type safety used to be unpopular

The Basic Idea

- When an object that takes memory space is created, unused space is automatically allocated
 - In Java, new objects are created by *new X*
- After a while there is no more unused space
- Some space is occupied by objects that will never be used again
- This space can be freed to be reused later

The Basic Idea (Cont.)

- How can we tell whether an object will “never be used again”?
 - in general it is impossible to tell
 - we will have to use a heuristic to find many (not all) objects that will never be used again
- **Observation:** a program can use only the objects that it can find:
 - $A\ x = \text{new } A;$
 - $x = y;$
 - After $x = y$ there is no way to access the newly allocated object


Garbage

- An object x is reachable if and only if:
 - a register contains a pointer to x , or
 - another reachable object y contains a pointer to x
- You can find all reachable objects by starting from registers and following all the pointers
- An unreachable object can never be referred by the program
 - these objects are called garbage

Tracing Reachable Values in Simple

- In Simple, the only register is the accumulator
 - it points to an object
 - and this object may point to other objects, etc.
- The stack is more complex
 - each stack frame contains pointers
 - e.g., method parameters
 - each stack frame also contains non-pointers
 - e.g., return address
 - if we know the layout of the frame we can find the pointers in it

An Example



- In Simple we start tracing from acc and stack
 - they are called the roots
- Note that B and D are not reachable from acc or the stack
- Thus we can reuse their storage

Elements of Garbage Collection

- Every garbage collection scheme has the following steps
 1. Allocate space as needed for new objects
 2. When space runs out:
 - a) Compute what objects might be used again (generally by tracing objects reachable from a set of "root" registers)
 - b) Free the space used by objects not found in (a)
- Some strategies perform garbage collection before the space actually runs out

Mark and Sweep

- When memory runs out, GC executes two phases
 - the mark phase: traces reachable objects
 - the sweep phase: collects garbage objects
- Every object has an extra bit: the mark bit
 - reserved for memory management
 - initially the mark bit is 0
 - set to 1 for the reachable objects in the mark phase

The Mark Phase

```
let todo = { all roots }
while todo  $\neq \emptyset$  do
  pick  $v \in$  todo
  todo  $\leftarrow$  todo - {  $v$  }
  if mark( $v$ ) = 0 then      (*  $v$  is unmarked yet *)
    mark( $v$ )  $\leftarrow$  1
    let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be the pointers contained in  $v$ 
    todo  $\leftarrow$  todo  $\cup$  {  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  }
  fi
od
```


The Sweep Phase

- The sweep phase scans the heap looking for objects with mark bit 0
 - these objects have not been visited in the mark phase
 - they are garbage
- Any such object is added to the free list
- The objects with a mark bit 1 have their mark bit reset to 0


The Sweep Phase (Cont.)

```
(* sizeof(p) is the size of block starting at p *)
p ← bottom of heap
while p < top of heap do
  if mark(p) = 1 then
    mark(p) ← 0
  else
    add block p...(p+sizeof(p)-1) to freelist
  fi
  p ← p + sizeof(p)
od
```


Mark and Sweep Example



After mark:



After sweep:



Details

- While conceptually simple, this algorithm has a number of tricky details
 - this is typical of GC algorithms
- A serious problem with the mark phase
 - it is invoked when we are out of space
 - yet it needs space to construct the todo list
 - the size of the todo list is unbounded so we cannot reserve space for it a priori

Mark and Sweep: Details


- The todo list is used as an auxiliary data structure to perform the reachability analysis
- There is a trick that allows the auxiliary data to be stored in the objects themselves
 - pointer reversal: when a pointer is followed it is reversed to point to its parent (See Algorithm 13.5 in Appel)
- Similarly, the free list is stored in the free objects themselves

Mark and Sweep. Evaluation

- Space for a new object is allocated from the new list
 - a block large enough is picked
 - an area of the necessary size is allocated from it
 - the left-over is put back in the free list
- Mark and sweep can fragment the memory
- Advantage: objects are not moved during GC
 - no need to update the pointers to objects
 - works for languages like C and C++

Another Technique: Stop and Copy

- Memory is organized into two areas
 - old space: used for allocation
 - new space: used as a reserve for GC




- The heap pointer points to the next free word in the old space
 - allocation just advances the heap pointer

Stop and Copy Garbage Collection


- Starts when the old space is full
- Copies all reachable objects from old space into new space
 - garbage is left behind
 - after the copy phase the new space uses less space than the old one before the collection
- After the copy the roles of the old and new spaces are reversed and the program resumes

Stop and Copy Garbage Collection. Example

Before collection:



After collection:




Implementation of Stop and Copy

- We need to find all the reachable objects, as for mark and sweep
- As we find a reachable object we copy it into the new space
 - And we have to fix ALL pointers pointing to it!
- As we copy an object we store in the old copy a forwarding pointer to the new copy
 - when we later reach an object with a forwarding pointer we know it was already copied


Implementation of Stop and Copy (Cont.)

- We still have the issue of how to implement the traversal without using extra space
- The following trick solves the problem:
 - partition the new space in three contiguous regions




Stop and Copy. Example (1)

- Before garbage collection




Stop and Copy. Example (3)

- Step 1: Copy the objects pointed by roots and set forwarding pointers




Stop and Copy. Example (3)

- Step 2: Follow the pointer in the next unscanned object (A)
 - copy the pointed objects (just C in this case)
 - fix the pointer in A
 - set forwarding pointer




Stop and Copy. Example (4)

- Follow the pointer in the next unscanned object (C)
 - copy the pointed objects (F in this case)




Stop and Copy. Example (5)

- Follow the pointer in the next unscanned object (F)
 - the pointed object (A) was already copied. Set the pointer same as the forwarding pointer



Stop and Copy. Example (6)

- Since scan caught up with alloc we are done
- Swap the role of the spaces and resume the program



The Stop and Copy Algorithm

```
while scan <> alloc do
  let O be the object at scan pointer
  for each pointer p contained in O do
    find O' that p points to
    if O' is without a forwarding pointer
      copy O' to new space (update alloc pointer)
      set 1st word of old O' to point to the new copy
      change p to point to the new copy of O'
    else
      set p in O equal to the forwarding pointer
    fi
  end for
  increment scan pointer to the next object
od
```

Stop and Copy. Details.

- As with mark and sweep, we must be able to tell how large is an object when we scan it
 - and we must also know where are the pointers inside the object
- We must also copy any objects pointed to by the stack and update pointers in the stack
 - this can be an expensive operation

Stop and Copy. Evaluation

- Stop and copy is generally believed to be the fastest GC technique
- Allocation is very cheap
 - just increment the heap pointer
- Collection is relatively cheap
 - especially if there is a lot of garbage
 - only touch reachable objects
- But some languages do not allow copying (C, C++)

Why Doesn't C Allow Copying?

- Garbage collection relies on being able to find all reachable objects
 - and it needs to find all pointers in an object
- In C or C++ it is impossible to identify the contents of objects in memory
 - E.g., how can you tell that a sequence of two memory words is a list cell (with data and next fields) or a binary tree node (with a left and right fields)?
 - Thus we cannot tell where all the pointers are

Conservative Garbage Collection

- But it is Ok to be conservative:
 - if a memory word looks like a pointer it is considered a pointer
 - it must be aligned
 - it must point to a valid address in the data segment
 - all such pointers are followed and we overestimate the reachable objects
- But we still cannot move objects because we cannot update pointers to them
 - what if what we thought to be a pointer is actually an account number?

Reference Counting

- Rather than wait for memory to be exhausted, try to collect an object when there are no more pointers to it
- Store in each object the number of pointers to that object
 - this is the reference count
- Each assignment operation has to manipulate the reference count

Implementation of Reference Counting

- new returns an object with a reference count of 1
- If x points to an object then let rc(x) point to its reference count
- Every assignment $x = y$ must be changed:
 - $rc(y) = rc(y) + 1$
 - $rc(x) = rc(x) - 1$
 - if($rc(x) == 0$) then mark x as free
 - $x = y$

Reference Counting. Evaluation

- Advantages:
 - easy to implement
 - collects garbage incrementally without large pauses in the execution
- Disadvantages:
 - cannot collect circular structures
 - manipulating reference counts at each assignment is very slow

Garbage Collection. Evaluation

- Automatic memory management avoids some serious storage bugs
- But it takes away control from the programmer
 - e.g., layout of data in memory
 - e.g., when is memory deallocated
- Most garbage collection implementation stop the execution during collection
 - not acceptable in real-time applications

Garbage Collection. Evaluation

- Garbage collection is going to be around for a while
- Researchers are working on advanced garbage collection algorithms:
 - concurrent: allow the program to run while the collection is happening
 - generational: do not scan long-lived objects at every collection
 - parallel: several collectors working in parallel