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A Theory of Fun

- Excellent book by Raph Koster
  - Designer of *Star Wars Galaxies*, and one of the better speakers at Game Developer’s Conference

- Good blog, too: www.raphkoster.com
People are pattern-matching machines

• See the face?
• Once you see it, you can’t help seeing it after that

People are pattern-matching machines

• We see faces even in the most boring images
• We might even see expressions
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Noise == boredom

- We try to find a pattern for a little while, then give up.
- Remember Shannon’s information theory?
- Randomness == incompressible

The Converse:

- Information == compressible
- “Chunking”
- Wrapping things at higher levels so as to improve perception and recognition
- Ex: Chess
Chunking: “Muscle Memory”

- Your muscles don’t have memory
- But your brain does (duh)
- You train your nervous system to react in ways that no longer require your forebrain to interact
  - Swing of a baseball bat
  - Driving a car
  - Playing...video games!

Pattern matching is interesting

- We like to find and identify patterns
- Bejeweled
  - Takes it even further -- gives us the ability to impose patterns
Pattern matching is fun

- Casual games
  - Find the key to the game
  - Learn the skills
    - And all the powerups for different levels
  - Let’s look at one
    - addictinggames.com
      - Bloons

- It goes to all levels
- RTS:
  - Fun to learn to use the pieces
  - Fun to learn to find a strategy to beat each opponent / level
  - Fun to learn the metastrategy about how the pieces are organized
  - Fun to figure out where your friends have strengths and weaknesses
- Fun to find the next game to play
Games are puzzles

- “They are about cognition and learning to analyze. When you’re playing a game, you’ll only play it until you master the pattern. Once you master it, the game becomes boring.”
  ✦ -- Raph Koster

Players seek to optimize

- If people see an optimal path, they’ll take it.
- Sometimes at the expense of gameplay
- We have names for that:
  ✦ Cheat, hack, exploit, macro...
It’s what humans do

- “Clubbing baby seals”
- You can fight it
  ✦ Tighten up the rules
  ✦ Make exploits harder
  ✦ People (sometimes) hate it when they’re arbitrary
- Make the game systems better
  ✦ Take away the advantage
  ✦ Clubbing beginners won’t happen if there’s no advantage to it

Where is the boundary?

- The letter of the rule?
- Or the intent?
  ✦ Ask
- Sometimes new games are invented by breaking or changing the rules!
  ✦ Rugby -> Football
  ✦ Most of poker
Game design: a losing battle

• Humans act to make their games boring
• It’s in our very genes
• It’s actually what makes them fun in the first place!

It’s why we have human competition

• People provide much more subtle opportunities for puzzles
Game design

• The problem of providing a great possibility space for our players to explore
• But if the designer tries to conceive of the whole thing in advance...
• ...players won’t be far behind.