

CS529

Multimedia Networking

Experiments in Computer Science

Introduction

- Some claim computer science is not an **experimental science**
 - Computers are man-made, predictable so computer science is a theoretical science (like Mathematics)
 - But too many components (including humans!) to be predictable – need experiments to study
- Some claim **system development** is computer science
 - Building an OS or a federated database
 - Rather, that is *computer engineering*, and science comes after

Theory and Engineering

- **Computer theory** can only take you so far
 - *"Beware of bugs in the above code; I have only proved it correct, not tried it."*
 - - Donald E. Knuth
- **Computer engineering** can only take you so far
 - While building *apparatus* is skillful, unless grants new knowledge it is wasted
 - Need *science* to increase knowledge
- Use **Experiments** to evaluate theory or apparatus!

Experiments in Computer Science

"The fundamental principle of science, the definition almost, is this: the sole test of the validity of any idea is experiment"

– Richard P. Feynman

- Tried and true experimental scientific methodology from **Physics, Biology, Chemistry**
- ...
 - Often (?) *not* followed in Computer Science
- Let's be better *Computer Scientists!*

Scientific Methodology

- Observe
 - (Devise solution)
- Hypothesize
- Design
- Experiment
- Analyze
- Report

Methodology: Observe and Understand

- Find Problem
 - Test: *Netscape Audio*
 - Build: *Audioconference*
 - Read: *Kevin Jeffay says...*
- Understand Relationships
 - *UDP loses packets*
 - *TCP increases delay*
 - *P-frames depend upon I-frames*
 - (From background in **this** class!)

Methodology: Devise and Hypothesize

- Devise Solution (but empirical only is ok!)
 - *Claypool buffering algorithm*
 - *Claypool Reliable Audio Protocol (CRAP)*
- Make Hypothesis
 - Generalization about relationships
 - *Processor load induces jitter*
 - *Java virtual machine induces jitter*
 - Needs to be tested (not proven)

Methodology: Experiment

- Design Experiment
 - Variable: *processor workload*
 - Control: *baseline workload*
- Run Experiment
 - *“Whoa! That’s not what I expected!”*
 - Bug in code (*two processes sharing socket*)
 - Back to “Run”
 - Uncontrolled event (*system backup*)
 - Back to “Design”
 - Insufficient understanding (*Unix scheduling*)
 - Back to “Understanding”

Methodology: Analyze

- Interpretation and Evaluation
 - Statistical significance
 - mean, confidence intervals, correlation, goodness of fit
 - Does data *support* or *reject* hypothesis?
 - Explanation of other phenomena
 - *Processor load makes my phone call difficult*
 - *Java inadequate for interactive multimedia*

Methodology: Report

- Disseminate at an appropriate level
 - Workshops, Conferences, Journals
- Peer reviewed
 - Unlike “White” papers or Tech reports (and some Conferences!)
- Clarify in writing important
 - Reproducibility
- Includes analysis of complex data
 - Graphs! Statistical significance!
- Value presentation as a form of dissemination

Dirty Little Secrets

- Mini-experiments (no, “Pilot Tests”)
- Hypotheses after the fact
 - Running yields understanding
- Results *here* mean results *there*
- Controlled system still says meaningful things about the real world
- Observing a system will not change it

Groupwork

- Create a CS hypothesis
- Describe how you would test it
- Is your work useful if your hypothesis is:
 - Accepted?
 - Rejected?