Distributed Computing Systems

Distributed File Systems

Distributed File Systems

- Early networking and files

 Had FTP to transfer files
 Telnet to remote login to other systems with files
- But want more transparency!
- local computing with remote file system
 Distributed file systems → One of earliest distributed system components
- Enables programs to access remote files as if local

 Transparency
- Allows sharing of data and programs
- Performance and reliability comparable to local disk



Concepts of Distributed File System

- Transparency
- Concurrent Updates
- Replication
- Fault Tolerance
- Consistency
- Platform Independence
- Security
- Efficiency

Transparency Concurrent Updates

Consistency Platform Independence

Replication Fault Tolerance

Security Efficiency



Concurrent Updates

 Changes to file from one client should not interfere with changes from other clients

 Even if changes at same time

- Solutions often include:
 - File or record-level locking

















• For transparency, implement client as module under Virtual File System (VFS)





Stateful or Stateless Design

Stateful

Server maintains client-specific state

- Shorter requests
- Better performance in processing requests
- Cache coherence possible Server can know who's accessing what
- File locking possible

Stateless

Server maintains no information on client accesses

- Each request must identify file and offsets
- Server can crash and recover No state to lose
- No open/close needed
- They only establish state . No server space used for state Don't worry about supporting many clients _
- Problems if file is deleted on
- File locking not possible

Caching

- · Hide latency to improve performance for repeated accesses
- Four places:
 - Server's disk
 - Server's buffer cache (memory)
 - Client's buffer cache (memory)
 - Client's disk
- Client caches risk cache consistency problems

Concepts of Caching (1 of 2)

Centralized control

- · Keep track of what files each client has open and cached
- · Stateful file system with signaling traffic

Read-ahead (pre-fetch)

- · Request chunks of data before needed
- · Minimize wait when actually needed
- But what if data pre-fetched is out of date?

Concepts of Caching (2 of 2)

Write-through

- All writes to file sent to server What if another client reads its own (out-of-date) cached copy?
- All accesses require checking with server Or ... server maintains state and sends invalidations

- Delayed writes (write-behind)
- Only send writes to files in batch mode (i.e., buffer locally) One bulk write is more efficient than lots of little writes
- Problem: semantics become ambiguous Watch out for consistency others won't see updates!

Write on close

Only allows session semantics If lock, must lock whole file

Outline

(done)

(done)

(next)

- Overview
- Basic principles
- Network File System (NFS)
- Andrew File System (AFS)
- Dropbox

Network File System (NFS)

- Introduced in 1984 (by Sun Microsystems)
 - First was 1970's Data Access Protocol by DEC
 - But NFS first to be used as product
- Developed in conjunction with Sun RPC
- Made interfaces in public domain
- Request For Comment (RFC) by Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) - technical development of Internet standards - Allowed other vendors to produce implementations
- Internet standard is NFS protocol (version 3)
- <u>RFC 1913</u>
- Still widely deployed, up to v4 but maybe too bloated so v3 widely used

NFS Overview

- Provides transparent access to remote files Independent of OS (e.g., Mac, Linux, Windows) or hardware
- Symmetric any computer can be server and client - But many setups have dedicated server
- · Export some or all files
- Must support diskless clients
- Recovery from failure - Stateless, UDP, client retries
- High performance
 - Caching and read-ahead

Underlying Transport Protocol

- Initially NFS ran over UDP using Sun RPC
- Why UDP?
 - Slightly faster than TCP
 - No connection to maintain (or lose)
 - Reliable send not issue
 - NFS is designed for Ethernet LAN (relatively reliable)
 - UDP has error detection but no correction
 - NFS retries requests upon error/timeout

NFS Protocols

- Since clients and servers can be implemented for different platforms, need well-defined way to communicate \rightarrow Protocol
 - Protocol agreed upon set of requests and responses between client and servers
- Once agreed upon, Apple Mac NFS client can talk to a Sun Solaris NFS server
- NFS has two main protocols
 - Mounting Protocol Request access to exported directory tree
 - Directory and File Access Protocol Access files and directories (read, write, mkdir, readdir ...)

NFS Mounting Protocol

- Request permission to access contents at pathname
- Client
- Parses pathname - Contacts server for file handle
- Server
- Returns file handle: file device #, inode #, instance # Client
- - Create in-memory VFS inode at mount point Internally point to r-node (for remote/RPC) for remote files Client keeps state, not server
- Soft-mounted if client access fails, throw error to processes. But many do not handle file errors well
- Hard-mounted client blocks processes, retries until server up (can cause problems when NFS server down)



- Can even have client-server on same machine
- Directories available on server through /etc/exports When client mounts, becomes part of directory hierarchy





- Share folder /public
- Restrict to 192.168.1.0/24 Class C subnet Use '*' for wildcard/any
- Give read/write access (rw)
- Allow root user to connect as root (no_root_squash)



NFS Access Protocol **NFS Access Operations** • Most file operations supported from client to server (e.g., read(), write(), getattr()) NFS has 16 core operations (v2, v3 added six more) But doesn't support open () and close () link getattr • First, client performs lookup RPC null lookup symlink setattr Gets RPC handle for connection/return call readlink - Successful call gets file handle (UFID) and attributes Note, not like open () since no information stored on server create statfs • On, e.g., read () client sends RPC handle, UFID and offset remove mkdir rename • Allows server to be stateless, not remember connections rmdir Better for scaling and robustness readdir However, typical Unix file system can lock file on open (), read unlock on close () write If doing with NFS must run separate lock daemon

NFS Caching - Server

- Keep file data in memory as much as possible (avoid slow disk)
- Read-ahead get subsequent blocks (typically 8 KB chunk) before needed
- Server supports write-through (data to disk immediately when client asks)
 Performance can suffer, so another option only when
- file closed, called *commit*Delayed write only put data on disk in batch when using memory cache

 Typically every 30 seconds



- Reduce number of requests to server (avoid slow network)
- Cache read(), write(), getattr(), readdir()
- Can result in different versions at client
 Validate with timestamp
 - When contact server (local open () or new block), invalidate block if server has newer timestamp
- Clients responsible for polling server
- Typically 3 seconds for file
 Typically 30 seconds for directory
- Send written (dirty) blocks every 30 seconds
 Flush on close ()

Improve Read Performance

- Transfer data in large chunks

 8K bytes "typical" default (that used to be large)
 - Common Linux default 32K
- Read-ahead
- Optimize for sequential file access
- Send requests to read disk blocks before requested by process
- Generally → tune NFS performance
 Many possibilities server threads, network timeout, cache write, cache sizes, server disk layout ...
 - "Best" depends upon system and workload

Problems with NFS

- File consistency (if client caches)
- Assumes clocks are synchronized
- No locking
- Separate lock manager needed, but adds state
- No reference count for open files
 - Could delete file that others have open!
- File permissions may change
 - Invalidating access

NFS Version 3

- TCP support
 - UDP caused more problems (errors) on WANs or wireless
 - Realized all traffic from one client to server can be multiplexed on one connection
 - Minimizes connection setup cost

Large-block transfers

- Negotiate for optimal transfer size
- No fixed limit on amount of data per request

NFS Version 4

- Adds state to system
- Supports open () operations since can be maintained on server
- Read operations not absolute, but relative, and don't need all file information, just handle
 Shorter messages
- Locking integrated
- Includes optional security/encryption



Andrew File System (AFS)

- Developed at CMU in 1980's (hence the "Andrew" from "Andrew Carnegie")
 Commercialized through IBM to OpenAFS (<u>http://openafs.org/</u>)
- Transparent access to remote files
- Using Unix-like file operations (creat(), open(), ...)
- But AFS differs markedly from NFS in design and implementation...

General Observations Motivating AFS

• For Unix users

- Most files are small, less than 10 KB in size
- read() more common than write() about 6x
- Sequential access dominates, random rare
 Files referenced in bursts used recently, will likely be used again
- Typical scenarios for most files:
 Many files for one user only (i.e., not shared), so no problem
 - Shared files that are infrequently updated to others (e.g., code, large report) no problem
- Local cache of few hundred MB enough for working set for most users
- What doesn't fit? → databases updated frequently, often shared, need fine-grained control – Explicitly, AFS not for databases

AFS Design

- Scalability is most important design goal
 - Distributed file systems generally have more users than other distributed systems
- Key strategy is caching of whole files at clients
 - Whole-file serving entire file and directories
 - Whole-file caching clients store cache on disk
 Typically several hundred
 - "Permanent" in that written to local disk, so still there if rebooted

AFS Example

- Process at client issues open () system call
- Check if local cached copy
 - Yes? then use. Done.
- No? then proceed to next step.Send request to server
- Server sends back entire copy
- Client opens file (normal Unix file descriptor, local access)
- read(), write(), etc. all apply to copy
- When close (), if local cached copy changed, send back to server

AFS Questions

- How does AFS gain control on open() or close()?
- What space is allocated for cached files on clients?
- How does AFS ensure cached copies are up-todate since may be updated by several clients?







- Note, if client already had open, will still proceed
 If reboot, cannot be sure callbacks are all correct (may have missed some)
- Checks with server for each open
 Note, versus traditional cache checking, AFS far less

communication for non-shared, read-only files

(Flow diagram next slide)

Check length of printing and printeread and printing and printing and printing

Update Semantics

- No other access control mechanisms
- If several workstations close() file after writing, only last file will be written

 Others silently lost
- Clients must implement concurrency control separately
- If two processes on same machine access file, local Unix semantics apply (i.e., generally none, unless processes explicitly lock)

AFS Misc

- 1989: Benchmark with 18 clients, standard NFS load
 - Up to 120% improvement over NFS
- 1996: Transarc (acquired by IBM) Deployed on 1000 servers over 150 sites
 - 96-98% cache hit rate
- Today, some AFS cells up to 25,000 clients (Morgan Stanley)
- OpenAFS standard: <u>http://www.openafs.org/</u>

Other Distributed File Systems

- *SMB:* Server Message Blocks, Microsoft (*Samba* is a free re-implementation of SMB). Favors locking and consistency over client caching.
- CODA: AFS spin-off at CMU. Disconnection and fault recovery.
- Sprite: research project in 1980's from UC Berkeley, introduced first journaling file system.
- Amoeba Bullet File Server: Tanenbaum research project. Favors throughput with atomic file change.
- *xFS*: SGI serverless file system by distributing across multiple machines for Irix OS.

Outline

Overview	(done)
Basic principles	(done)
 Network File System (NFS) 	(done)
 Andrew File System (AFS) 	(done)
• Dropbox	(next)

Dropbox Overview (1 of 3)

- Client runs on desktop
- Drophy
- Copies changes to local folder

 Uploaded automatically
 - Downloads new versions automatically
- Huge scale 100+ million users, 1 billion files/day
 Design
 - Small client, few resources
 - Possibility of low-capacity network to user
 - Scalable back-end
 - (99% of code in Python)

Dropbox Overview (2 of 3)

- Motivation most Web apps high read/write – e.g., Twitter, Facebook, reddit 100:1, 1000:1, +
- Everyone's computer has complete copy of Dropbox
- Run daemon on computer to track "Sync" folder
- Traffic only when changes occur
 - Results in file upload : file download about 1:1
 - Huge number of uploads compared to traditional service
- · Uses compression to reduce traffic













Dropbox Misc – Streaming Sync

- Normally, cannot download to another until upload complete

 For large files, takes time "sync"
- Instead, enable client to start download when some blocks arrive, before commit

 Streaming Sync



















UNIX File System Operations

filedes = open(name, mode) filedes = creat(name, mode)	Opens an existing file with given <i>name</i> . Creates a new file with given <i>name</i> . Both operations deliver file descriptor referencing open file. The <i>mode</i> is <i>read</i> , write or both.
status = close(filedes)	Closes open file filedes.
count = read(filedes, buffer, n)	Transfers n bytes from file referenced by filedes to buffer.
count = write(filedes, buffer, n)	Transfers <i>n</i> bytes to file referenced by <i>filedes</i> from buffer. Both operations deliver number of bytes actually transferred and advance read-write pointer.
pos = lseek(filedes, offset, whence)	Moves read-write pointer to offset (relative or absolute, depending on whence).
status = unlink(name)	Removes file <i>name</i> from directory structure. If file has no other names, it is deleted.
status = link(name1, name2)	Adds new name (name2) for file (name1).
status = stat(name, buffer)	Gets file attributes for file name into buffer.

filedes filedes

count count



