CPU Scheduling

What Is In This Chapter?

• This chapter is about how to get a process attached to a processor.

• It centers around efficient algorithms that perform well.

• The design of a scheduler is concerned with making sure all users get their fair share of the resources.
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Multiprogramming  A number of programs can be in memory at the same time. Allows overlap of CPU and I/O.

Jobs (batch) are programs that run without user interaction.

User (time shared) are programs that may have user interaction.

Process is the common name for both.

CPU - I/O burst cycle Characterizes process execution, which alternates, between CPU and I/O activity. CPU times are generally much shorter than I/O times.

Preemptive Scheduling An interrupt causes currently running process to give up the CPU and be replaced by another process.
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The Scheduler

- Selects from among the processes in memory that are ready to execute, and allocates the CPU to one of them.

- CPU scheduling decisions may take place when a process:
  1. Switches from running to waiting state
  2. Switches from running to ready state
  3. Switches from waiting to ready
  4. Terminates

- Scheduling under 1 and 4 is *nonpreemptive*
- All other scheduling is *preemptive*
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The Dispatcher

- Dispatcher module gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler; this involves:
  - switching context
  - switching to user mode
  - jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program

- *Dispatch latency* – time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another running
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Criteria For Performance Evaluation

Note usage of the words DEVICE, SYSTEM, REQUEST, JOB.

**UTILIZATION**  The fraction of time a device is in use. (ratio of in-use time / total observation time)

**THROUGHPUT**  The number of job completions in a period of time. (jobs / second)

**SERVICE TIME**  The time required by a device to handle a request. (seconds)

**QUEUEING TIME**  Time on a queue waiting for service from the device. (seconds)

**RESIDENCE TIME**  The time spent by a request at a device.

\[
\text{RESIDENCE TIME} = \text{SERVICE TIME} + \text{QUEUEING TIME}.
\]

**RESPONSE TIME**  Time used by a system to respond to a User Job. (seconds)

**THINK TIME**  The time spent by the user of an interactive system to figure out the next request. (seconds)

The goal is to optimize both the average and the amount of variation. (but beware the ogre predictability.)
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Scheduling Behavior

Most Processes Don’t Use Up Their Scheduling Quantum!

5: CPU-Scheduling
FIRST-COME, FIRST SERVED:

- (FCFS) same as FIFO
- Simple, fair, but poor performance. Average queueing time may be long.
- What are the average queueing and residence times for this scenario?
- How do average queueing and residence times depend on ordering of these processes in the queue?
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EXAMPLE DATA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival Time</th>
<th>Service Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FCFS

Average wait = \( \frac{(8-0) + (12-1) + (21-2) + (26-3)}{4} = \frac{61}{4} = 15.25 \)

Residence Time at the CPU

5: CPU-Scheduling
SHORTEST JOB FIRST:

- Optimal for minimizing queueing time, but impossible to implement. Tries to predict the process to schedule based on previous history.
- Predicting the time the process will use on its next schedule:

\[ t(n+1) = w \cdot t(n) + (1 - w) \cdot T(n) \]

Here:  

- \( t(n+1) \) is time of next burst.
- \( t(n) \) is time of current burst.
- \( T(n) \) is average of all previous bursts.
- \( W \) is a weighting factor emphasizing current or previous bursts.
PREEMPTIVE ALGORITHMS:

- Yank the CPU away from the currently executing process when a higher priority process is ready.

- Can be applied to both Shortest Job First or to Priority scheduling.

- Avoids "hogging" of the CPU

- On time sharing machines, this type of scheme is required because the CPU must be protected from a run-away low priority process.

- Give short jobs a higher priority – perceived response time is thus better.

- What are average queueing and residence times? Compare with FCFS.
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**Scheduling Algorithms**

**EXAMPLE DATA:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival Time</th>
<th>Service Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preemptive Shortest Job First

Average wait = \( \frac{(5-1) + (10-3) + (17-0) + (26-2)}{4} = \frac{52}{4} = 13.0 \)
PRIORITY BASED SCHEDULING:

- Assign each process a priority. Schedule highest priority first. All processes within same priority are FCFS.

- Priority may be determined by user or by some default mechanism. The system may determine the priority based on memory requirements, time limits, or other resource usage.

- **Starvation** occurs if a low priority process never runs. Solution: build aging into a variable priority.

- Delicate balance between giving favorable response for interactive jobs, but not starving batch jobs.
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ROUND ROBIN:

- Use a timer to cause an interrupt after a predetermined time. Preempts if task exceeds it’s quantum.

- Train of events
  
  Dispatch
  
  Time slice occurs OR process suspends on event
  
  Put process on some queue and dispatch next

- Use numbers in last example to find queueing and residence times. (Use quantum = 4 sec.)

- Definitions:
  
  - **Context Switch**   Changing the processor from running one task (or process) to another. Implies changing memory.
  
  - **Processor Sharing** Use of a small quantum such that each process runs frequently at speed 1/n.
  
  - **Reschedule latency** How long it takes from when a process requests to run, until it finally gets control of the CPU.
ROUND ROBIN:

- Choosing a time quantum
  
  - Too short - inordinate fraction of the time is spent in context switches.
  
  - Too long - reschedule latency is too great. If many processes want the CPU, then it's a long time before a particular process can get the CPU. This then acts like FCFS.
  
  - Adjust so most processes won't use their slice. As processors have become faster, this is less of an issue.
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EXAMPLE DATA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival</th>
<th>Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Round Robin, quantum = 4, no priority-based preemption

Average wait = ( (20-0) + (8-1) + (26-2) + (25-3) )/4 = 74/4 = 18.5
MULTI-LEVEL QUEUES:

- Each queue has its scheduling algorithm.
- Then some other algorithm (perhaps priority based) arbitrates between queues.
- Can use feedback to move between queues.
- Method is complex but flexible.
- For example, could separate system processes, interactive, batch, favored, unfavored processes.

![Diagram of multi-level queues]

highest priority

- system processes
- interactive processes
- interactive editing processes
- batch processes
- student processes

lowest priority
Here’s how the priorities are used in Windows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>real-time</th>
<th>high</th>
<th>above normal</th>
<th>normal</th>
<th>below normal</th>
<th>idle priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>time-critical</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>highest</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>above normal</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>below normal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lowest</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idle</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MULTIPLE PROCESSOR SCHEDULING:

- Different rules for homogeneous or heterogeneous processors.
- Load sharing in the distribution of work, such that all processors have an equal amount to do.
- Each processor can schedule from a common ready queue (equal machines) OR can use a master slave arrangement.

Real Time Scheduling:

- *Hard real-time* systems – required to complete a critical task within a guaranteed amount of time.
- *Soft real-time* computing – requires that critical processes receive priority over less fortunate ones.
Two algorithms: time-sharing and real-time

• **Time-sharing**
  - Prioritized credit-based – process with most credits is scheduled next
  - Credit subtracted when timer interrupt occurs
  - When credit = 0, another process chosen
  - When all processes have credit = 0, recrediting occurs
    • Based on factors including priority and history

• **Real-time**
  - Soft real-time
  - Posix.1b compliant – two classes
    • FCFS and RR
    • Highest priority process runs first

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>numeric priority</th>
<th>relative priority</th>
<th>time quantum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>highest</td>
<td>real-time tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>other tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>lowest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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How do we decide which algorithm is best for a particular environment?

- Deterministic modeling – takes a particular predetermined workload and defines the performance of each algorithm for that workload.
- Queueing models.
We’ve looked at a number of different scheduling algorithms.

Which one works the best is application dependent.

- General purpose OS will use priority based, round robin, preemptive
- Real Time OS will use priority, no preemption.