



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 2102 B01 OBJCT-ORIENTED DESIGN CONCEPTS

MXC Ciaraldi, Michael J.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	9	1	5	0	2	1
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	9	0	5	2	1	1
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	9	3	1	1	2	2
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	9	0	2	2	3	2
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	9	1	1	4	2	1
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	9	0	1	4	3	1
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	9	0	1	6	0	2
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	8	0	0	2	2	4
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	9	2	2	2	1	2
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	9	1	1	4	2	1
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	9	0	1	4	1	3
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	9	1	2	3	1	2
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	9	0	0	4	4	1
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	9	0	2	2	2	3
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	8	0	1	4	3	0
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	9	1	2	3	1	2
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	8	0	0	2	3	3
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	9	0	2	2	3	2
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	9	0	0	2	2	5
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	9	0	0	1	3	5
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	9	1	2	3	1	2
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	8	0	2	4	1	1
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	9	0	0	3	4	2
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	9	4	1	3	1	0
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	9	4	4	1	0	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	9	2	4	1	2	0
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	7	0	0	2	0	5
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	7	0	0	0	1	6
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	7	0	0	0	2	5
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	7	0	1	0	1	5
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
31. Relative to other lab experiences, the clarity and specificity of lab assignment objectives was	7	0	0	1	0	6



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 2102 B04 OBJCT-ORIENTED DESIGN CONCEPTS

MXC Ciaraldi, Michael J.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	11	0	3	5	3	0
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	11	3	4	2	1	1
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	11	1	1	6	1	2
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	12	0	1	2	5	4
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	12	1	3	5	2	1
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	11	0	4	2	4	1
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	11	1	3	4	1	2
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	11	0	1	2	6	2
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	11	1	4	4	2	0
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	11	0	1	3	4	3
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	11	0	0	5	5	1
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	11	1	2	4	4	0
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	11	0	1	4	5	1
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	9	0	1	2	3	3
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	10	2	0	4	2	2
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	9	0	1	4	2	2
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	11	0	1	2	5	3
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	11	0	4	3	1	3
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	11	0	0	2	5	4
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	11	0	0	0	7	4
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	12	3	5	2	1	1
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	11	0	3	4	3	1
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	9	0	1	2	4	2
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	10	4	3	0	0	3
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	11	5	3	1	1	1
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	10	3	2	4	1	0
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	7	1	0	1	1	4
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	6	0	0	0	2	4
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	5	0	0	0	2	3
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	6	0	0	1	3	2
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
31. Relative to other lab experiences, the clarity and specificity of lab assignment objectives was	5	0	0	3	1	1



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 2102 B06 OBJCT-ORIENTED DESIGN CONCEPTS

MXC Ciaraldi, Michael J.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	7	0	0	4	2	1
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	6	0	0	3	3	0
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	7	0	0	3	2	2
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	7	0	0	0	5	2
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	7	0	2	2	1	2
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	6	0	0	1	3	2
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	7	0	0	1	5	1
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	6	0	0	1	4	1
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	7	0	1	2	3	1
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	7	0	1	0	3	3
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	7	0	0	2	2	3
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	6	0	1	2	3	0
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	6	0	0	3	2	1
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	6	0	1	1	3	1
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	7	0	1	3	1	2
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	4	0	0	1	1	2
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	6	0	0	1	2	3
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	6	0	0	2	2	2
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	7	0	0	0	2	5
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	7	0	0	0	0	7
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	7	0	1	1	3	2
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	7	0	1	1	4	1
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	5	0	0	0	2	3
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	7	4	1	1	0	1
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	6	2	2	0	1	1
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	7	3	1	1	2	0
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	3	0	0	0	2	1
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	3	0	0	0	0	3
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	3	0	0	0	2	1
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	4	0	0	2	0	2
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
31. Relative to other lab experiences, the clarity and specificity of lab assignment objectives was	4	0	0	3	1	0



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 2102 B08 OBJCT-ORIENTED DESIGN CONCEPTS

MXC Ciaraldi, Michael J.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	15	1	3	6	3	2
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	16	2	4	7	1	2
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	15	1	4	5	2	3
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	15	1	1	7	4	2
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	16	0	1	8	5	2
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	17	0	2	8	3	4
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	15	0	5	4	5	1
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	15	0	1	2	4	8
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	16	2	3	3	7	1
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	16	2	1	5	3	5
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	16	0	2	9	4	1
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	16	1	2	6	5	2
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	16	1	2	6	5	2
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	16	1	0	6	6	3
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	15	0	0	7	5	3
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	14	0	2	3	3	6
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	15	0	0	3	3	9
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	16	1	0	6	7	2
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	15	0	2	3	7	3
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	15	0	0	1	6	8
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	16	4	4	5	2	1
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	16	2	4	6	3	1
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	13	0	0	5	3	5
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	16	8	3	2	1	2
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	15	11	1	0	0	3
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	15	2	4	7	1	1
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	11	0	0	3	4	4
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	11	0	0	3	3	5
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	10	0	0	2	5	3
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	12	1	0	6	2	3
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
31. Relative to other lab experiences, the clarity and specificity of lab assignment objectives was	12	0	3	4	5	0



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 2118 B01 OBJ-ORIENT DES CONC FOR BUS AP  
LJO O'Connor, Lisa J

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	32	0	4	8	17	3
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	32	3	5	7	12	5
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	30	1	3	6	11	9
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	31	0	1	10	14	6
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	32	3	4	8	11	6
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	32	4	4	3	14	7
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	31	3	3	6	14	5
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	31	0	1	4	9	17
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	30	0	4	12	11	3
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	30	0	5	16	8	1
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	29	1	1	4	15	8
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	30	1	4	12	9	4
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	30	0	1	8	5	16
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	29	0	0	8	11	10
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	29	0	1	6	9	13
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	28	0	2	9	10	7
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	31	2	5	3	9	12
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	31	4	3	9	8	7
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	31	0	1	4	10	16
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	31	0	3	1	10	17
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	29	1	8	5	8	7
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	31	1	5	6	10	9
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	29	0	1	8	8	12
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	29	13	12	4	0	0
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	29	4	22	0	0	3
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	29	6	11	5	6	1
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	11	0	1	0	5	5
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	12	0	0	1	6	5
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	11	0	0	0	6	5
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	11	0	2	0	5	4
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
31. Relative to other lab experiences, the clarity and specificity of lab assignment objectives was	9	0	2	0	4	3



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007  
 CS 2223 B01 ALGORITHMS  
 MMX Mani, Murali

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	27	0	0	10	12	5
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	25	0	0	12	11	2
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	25	1	4	9	8	3
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	27	0	1	7	8	11
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	26	0	1	4	14	7
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	27	0	0	8	11	8
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	26	1	1	10	11	3
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	25	0	4	11	4	6
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	24	0	0	9	9	6
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	25	0	0	3	10	12
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	26	0	0	8	10	8
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	26	0	3	10	8	5
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	26	0	2	7	9	8
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	26	0	3	13	6	4
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	25	0	1	10	4	10
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	25	0	0	7	12	6
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	26	0	0	3	4	19
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	27	0	0	4	5	18
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	27	0	0	3	6	18
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	27	0	0	3	2	22
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	27	0	0	6	6	15
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	26	0	2	2	11	11
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	26	0	0	1	6	19
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	24	5	11	3	0	5
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	20	13	5	0	2	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	24	4	12	5	3	0



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007  
 CS 2301 B01 SYS PROGR FOR NON-MAJORS  
 GMH Hamel, Glynis M.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	22	0	5	1	14	2
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	23	1	4	7	8	3
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	23	3	2	6	10	2
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	20	0	2	4	9	5
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	21	0	1	8	8	4
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	21	0	2	8	8	3
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	21	0	4	6	7	4
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	20	0	0	2	12	6
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	21	0	5	10	5	1
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	20	1	3	7	9	0
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	21	2	3	5	7	4
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	20	3	2	7	8	0
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	20	1	3	9	4	3
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	20	1	2	10	5	2
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	20	0	2	13	3	2
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	20	1	3	9	6	1
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	21	0	0	3	12	6
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	22	0	2	7	9	4
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	22	1	1	4	11	5
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	21	0	4	3	7	7
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	22	2	4	5	5	6
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	22	1	4	3	9	5
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	21	0	0	8	7	6
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	21	6	9	2	0	4
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	21	1	16	2	1	1
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	21	9	5	6	1	0
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	10	0	1	2	5	2
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	9	0	0	0	5	4
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	8	0	0	1	5	2
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	9	2	3	2	1	1
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
31. Relative to other lab experiences, the clarity and specificity of lab assignment objectives was	9	0	2	2	3	2



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007  
 CS 2301 B02 SYS PROGR FOR NON-MAJORS  
 GMH Hamel, Glynis M.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	20	1	0	2	10	7
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	19	1	1	2	8	7
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	20	1	0	5	8	6
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	20	0	1	1	11	7
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	20	0	2	3	9	6
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	22	0	1	1	11	9
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	21	1	0	1	10	9
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	20	0	0	1	8	11
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	20	0	1	3	12	4
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	21	0	1	7	8	5
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	21	0	1	4	9	7
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	19	1	2	4	9	3
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	19	0	0	7	8	4
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	20	0	1	5	11	3
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	21	0	0	6	6	9
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	22	0	0	4	10	8
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	20	0	0	0	10	10
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	21	0	2	1	8	10
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	21	0	0	2	10	9
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	19	0	0	1	9	9
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	20	0	3	1	9	7
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	20	1	3	1	8	7
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	20	0	1	3	8	8
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	18	5	7	1	0	5
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	18	1	13	0	1	3
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	19	2	8	6	3	0
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	4	0	0	0	2	2
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	4	0	0	0	3	1
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	5	0	0	0	3	2
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	6	1	0	1	3	1
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
31. Relative to other lab experiences, the clarity and specificity of lab assignment objectives was	6	0	0	1	2	3



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007  
 CS 3043 B01 SOCIAL IMPL OF INFO PROCESSING  
 KAP Pray, Keith A.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	17	0	2	5	7	3
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	17	0	1	6	3	7
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	16	0	1	4	8	3
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	17	0	2	6	6	3
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	17	0	3	5	5	4
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	17	0	2	4	6	5
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	17	0	1	3	8	5
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	16	0	0	2	4	10
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	17	2	1	7	4	3
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	17	1	3	7	3	3
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	17	0	0	9	2	6
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	17	2	3	6	2	4
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	16	0	1	7	4	4
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	13	0	0	9	1	3
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	16	0	0	6	6	4
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	10	0	1	6	1	2
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	17	0	2	1	5	9
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	17	0	1	4	6	6
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	17	0	0	0	3	14
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	16	0	2	2	5	7
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	17	0	1	3	6	7
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	16	0	0	8	5	3
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	15	0	1	4	2	8
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	16	4	7	4	0	1
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	14	3	9	0	1	1
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	16	9	5	1	1	0
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	1	0	0	0	1	0



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007  
 CS 3431 B01 DATABASE SYSTEMS I  
 EAR Rundensteiner, Elke A

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	28	0	2	8	15	3
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	28	1	4	13	9	1
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	27	4	9	9	5	0
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	28	0	0	10	15	3
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	28	1	1	6	18	2
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	28	1	1	7	17	2
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	28	1	3	12	10	2
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	27	0	1	6	15	5
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	27	0	4	12	11	0
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	27	0	2	12	10	3
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	28	1	1	11	9	6
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	27	1	5	11	8	2
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	26	0	3	11	9	3
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	24	0	1	10	13	0
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	24	0	1	14	5	4
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	17	0	2	7	5	3
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	27	0	1	4	10	12
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	28	3	3	8	5	9
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	27	0	1	6	5	15
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	28	0	1	3	8	16
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	27	0	0	4	15	8
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	28	2	2	6	11	7
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	27	0	0	6	10	11
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	27	14	11	2	0	0
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	28	18	3	1	6	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	27	2	10	9	4	2



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 3733 B01 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

GFP Pollice, Gary F

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	37	0	0	2	9	26
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	37	0	0	2	6	29
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	36	1	4	15	13	3
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	37	0	0	2	17	18
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	37	0	1	3	13	20
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	37	0	0	2	14	21
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	37	0	0	1	11	25
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	37	0	0	0	5	32
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	34	0	3	5	14	12
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	35	0	2	6	13	14
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	36	0	0	8	13	15
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	36	0	3	7	11	15
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	36	0	0	8	10	18
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	36	0	1	7	7	21
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	35	1	0	7	12	15
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	36	0	1	2	15	18
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	37	0	0	0	5	32
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	37	0	1	0	10	26
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	37	0	0	2	11	24
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	37	0	1	0	5	31
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	37	0	2	6	18	11
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	37	1	4	6	12	14
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	34	0	1	4	8	21
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	36	12	15	6	0	3
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	33	24	7	1	1	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	36	0	6	12	9	9



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 4032 B01 NUM METH FOR LIN & NONLIN SYST

MOS Sarkis-Martins, Marcus

Total # of Responses	Response					
	1	2	3	4	5	
	Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent		
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	3	0	0	1	1	1
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	3	0	0	1	1	1
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	3	0	0	0	2	1
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	3	0	0	1	1	1
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	3	0	0	1	1	1
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	3	0	0	0	2	1
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	3	0	0	1	1	1
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	3	0	0	0	2	1
	Much less (1)			(5) Much more		
9. The amount I learned from the course was	3	0	0	1	0	2
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	3	0	0	0	2	1
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	3	0	0	1	1	1
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	3	0	0	1	1	1
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	3	0	1	0	1	1
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	3	0	0	0	2	1
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	3	0	0	0	2	1
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	3	0	0	0	2	1
	Never (1)			(5) Always		
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	3	0	0	0	0	3
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	3	0	0	0	0	3
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	3	0	0	0	0	3
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	3	0	0	0	0	3
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	3	0	0	0	1	2
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	3	0	0	0	1	2
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	3	0	0	0	0	3
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	3	1	2	0	0	0
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	3	1	1	1	0	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	3	1	2	0	0	0



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 4032 B02 NUM METH FOR LIN & NONLIN SYST

MOS Sarkis-Martins, Marcus

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	1	0	0	1	0	0
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	1	0	0	1	0	0
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	1	0	0	0	0	1
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	1	0	0	0	1	0
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	1	0	0	0	0	1
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	1	0	0	0	1	0
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	1	0	0	1	0	0
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	1	0	0	1	0	0
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	1	0	0	1	0	0
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	1	0	0	1	0	0
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	1	0	0	1	0	0
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	1	0	0	1	0	0
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	1	0	0	1	0	0
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	1	0	0	1	0	0
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	1	0	0	1	0	0
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	1	0	0	1	0	0
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	1	0	0	0	0	1
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	1	0	0	0	0	1
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	1	0	0	0	0	1
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	1	0	0	0	0	1
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	1	0	0	1	0	0
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	1	0	0	1	0	0
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	1	0	0	1	0	0
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	1	0	0	1	0	0
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	1	0	1	0	0	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	1	0	1	0	0	0



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007  
 CS 4341 B01 INTRO TO ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGEN  
 DCB Brown, David C.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	26	1	3	5	11	6
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	26	2	5	6	9	4
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	25	1	4	8	8	4
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	25	1	2	6	7	9
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	26	1	1	7	12	5
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	26	1	2	9	8	6
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	26	2	0	13	7	4
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	25	1	0	1	5	18
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	26	1	3	6	9	7
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	26	1	0	3	8	14
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	26	2	1	13	5	5
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	26	6	4	7	5	4
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	25	2	0	9	10	4
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	25	1	0	4	6	14
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	26	2	1	9	4	10
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	25	1	0	6	5	13
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	26	1	0	3	11	11
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	26	2	2	3	14	5
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	26	1	0	2	7	16
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	26	1	0	3	6	16
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	26	1	2	9	8	6
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	26	2	1	8	6	9
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	25	1	4	4	8	8
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	26	5	13	3	0	5
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	24	16	5	0	3	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	25	0	7	7	7	4
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
27. The instructor showed me how to use lab equipment properly.	1	1	0	0	0	0
28. The lab and/or computer equipment was in good operating condition.	1	1	0	0	0	0
29. Good laboratory procedures were emphasized	1	1	0	0	0	0
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
30. Relative to other lab experiences, the intellectual challenge presented by the lab assignments was	1	1	0	0	0	0



## Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007

CS 4514 B01 COMPUTER NETWORKS: ARCH & IMPL

REK Kinicki, Robert

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	23	0	0	1	15	7
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	22	0	0	3	6	13
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	22	0	1	3	15	3
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	23	0	0	2	14	7
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	23	0	0	4	7	12
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	23	0	0	3	9	11
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	23	0	0	4	7	12
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	23	0	0	2	5	16
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	23	0	0	2	8	13
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	23	0	0	1	9	13
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	23	0	0	6	11	6
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	22	0	1	6	11	4
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	23	0	1	8	9	5
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	22	0	0	3	5	14
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	21	0	0	5	7	9
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	21	0	0	0	9	12
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	22	0	0	0	3	19
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	22	0	1	1	5	15
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	23	0	0	1	12	10
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	23	0	0	1	3	19
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	23	0	0	4	11	8
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	20	0	0	2	10	8
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	20	0	0	0	5	15
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	22	4	9	4	0	5
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	20	16	4	0	0	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	23	0	6	7	5	5



Course Report Summary

200801 Fall 2007  
 CS 4731 B01 COMPUTER GRAPHICS  
 EOA Agu, Emmanuel O.

	Total # of Responses	Response				
		1	2	3	4	5
		Very Poor (1)			(5) Excellent	
1. My overall rating of the quality of this course is	13	0	0	0	6	7
2. My overall rating of the instructor's teaching is	13	0	0	2	5	6
3. The educational value of the textbook and/or assigned reading was	13	0	0	3	7	3
4. The educational value of the assigned work was	13	0	0	1	5	7
5. The instructor's organization of the course was	13	0	0	1	5	7
6. The instructor's clarity in communicating course objectives was	13	0	0	2	6	5
7. The instructor's skill in providing understandable explanations was	13	0	0	0	5	8
8. The instructor's skill in speaking clearly and audibly was	13	0	0	2	3	8
		Much less (1)			(5) Much more	
9. The amount I learned from the course was	13	0	0	2	4	7
10. The intellectual challenge presented by the course was	13	0	0	2	3	8
11. The instructor's personal interest in helping students learn was	13	0	0	4	4	5
12. The instructor stimulated my interest in the subject matter	13	0	1	2	3	7
13. The instructor encouraged communication outside of regular contact hours	13	0	0	7	3	3
14. The amount of reading, homework, and other assigned work was	13	0	0	2	6	5
15. My attendance and participation for this course was	13	0	0	3	6	4
16. The amount of effort I put into this course was	13	0	0	2	6	5
		Never (1)			(5) Always	
17. The instructor was well prepared to teach class.	13	0	0	0	4	9
18. My instructor used course time effectively.	13	0	1	0	5	7
19. The instructor encouraged students to ask questions.	13	0	0	1	4	8
20. The instructor treated students with respect.	13	0	0	0	1	12
21. Instructor feedback on exams/assignments was timely and helpful.	13	0	0	0	7	6
22. The exams and/or evaluations were good measures of the material covered.	13	0	0	1	3	9
23. My grades were determined in a fair and impartial manner.	12	0	0	0	3	9
		A	B	C	NR/D/F	Other/Don't know
24. What grade do you think you will receive in this course?	12	5	2	2	1	2
		In your major	Required for major	Free elective	Required for minor	Other Requirement
25. Which of the following best describes the role of this course in your academic program?	12	8	4	0	0	0
		8 hrs. or fewer	9-12 hrs.	13-16 hrs.	17-20 hrs.	21 hrs. or more
26. On average, what were the total hours you spent per week on all activities related to this course?	13	1	2	6	2	2