Name\_\_\_\_\_

## **Homework 8**

Worked with: \_\_\_\_\_

Consulted: \_\_\_\_\_

**#1. Show the following are decidable or undecidable. Show why.** 

a)  $L = \{ (M,w) | M \text{ is a dfa and on input } w \text{ visits each one of its states} \}$ decidable

b) L = { (M,w) | M is a TM and on input *w* makes an odd number of transitions}

L is not decidable. To prove this, we will show that if L is decidable, then HALT is decidable.

(Since we know HALT is not decidable, we will conclude that L is not either.)

Assume that L is decidable, and let  $M_L$  be a TM that decides it. Construct the following TM  $M_H$  (which will ultimately decide HALT) :

**M<sub>H</sub> on input M,w:** 

Construct a TM M' by modifying M so that M' makes an extra transition at the start. (Do this by adding a new start state s0, and make each transition from s0 go to the old start state without changing the tape.) Note that M halts on w iff (M,w) OR (M'w) are in L (i.e., M makes an odd number of transitions on w or M' does)

c)  $L = \{ n | n \text{ is prime} \}$ 

It may seem that this is undecidable since they are always discovering new primes, but there are plenty of algorithms for deciding if a number is prime. Maybe n is so large, the program doesn't finish, but that doesn't mean it's indecidable.

#2. Given 2 dfa's  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , Consider the question  $EQ_{dfa}$ : "Is  $L(M_1) = L(M_2)$ ?"

a) State this as a language problem

 $EQ_{dfa} = \{ (M_1, M_2) \mid M_1 \text{ and } M_2 \text{ are dfa's and } (L(M_1) \cap \sim L(M_2)) \cup (\sim L(M_1) \cap \ L(M_2)) = \emptyset \}$ 

b) Show  $EQ_{dfa}$  is decidable or undecidable

It is certainly decidable whether  $M_1$ , and  $M_2$  are dfa's and all the operations are decidable.

#3. Prove or disprove: Given a grammar in CNF and a string w  $\varepsilon$  L(G) with derivation tree T, *if depth*(T) = n, *then*  $|w| \le 2n-1$ 

#4. Given an arbitrary turing machine, M, and an arbitrary state q e M, show that it is undecidable whether M ever enters state q. Do not use Rice's Theorem.

**#5.** A property, P, of re languages is a mapping:

P: {re languages over  $S^*$ }  $\rightarrow$  {T,F}

A property P is *trivial* if it is true of *all* re languages or *no* re language

- a) Name a non-trivial property of re languages
- b) Name a trivial property of re languages

(Note: You may research this: just say where you got your answer from)

a) P<sub>regular</sub>: {L | L is regular} P<sub>empty</sub>: {L | L is empty} (not to be confused with the empty property)

b) There are exactly 2 trivial properties:  $P_{none}$ : {L | P false for no re language L} and  $P_{all}$ : {L | P true for all re languages}.

i.e.  $P_{none}$ :  $\phi$ and  $P_{all}$ : {L| L is an re language}

The TM for  $P_{none}$  immediately rejects The TM for  $P_{all}$  decides whether the input is a legal encoding of some TM.